# Local Development Plan Ecological Site Assessments in Monmouthshire 2021

# 1 Introduction

To inform the allocation and deliverability of candidate sites through the LDP process in line with relevant policy and legislation, Monmouthshire County Council requires the submission of baseline ecological information. This methodology has been prepared in order to ensure that there is a consistent approach to this submission and that the quality of the information provided is adequate.

Site Appraisals shall be presented in a report and describe the existing ecological value of the proposed LDP sites, principally based on botanical survey but with consideration of other potential Protected or Priority species that may be present. Sites must be also assessed for their potential to qualify wholly or in part as Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs) using the Guidelines for the Selection of Wildlife Sites in South Wales adapted for Monmouthshire (Available on the Monmouthshire County Council Website).

Following the site appraisal, an evaluation of status or potential impact on the biodiversity of the site must be made and classified as either: High, Medium or Low value. This status will be quality assured and checked by Monmouthshire County Council through the Candidate Sites Assessment Process prior to the Deposit Plan.

Information gathered from the Monmouthshire County Council Connectivity Assessment (available on the Monmouthshire County Council Website) will also need to be used to help inform the overall value of the proposed LDP Candidate Sites.

In accordance with policy and legislation, site appraisals shall include ecological enhancements that could be delivered through development including ecological connectivity opportunities in line with the 'Dear CPO' letter dated 23/10/2019<sup>1</sup>.

In addition to this, GIS (Geographical Information Systems) data in the format of shape files should be provided to provide visual representation of the ecological status of each site. (A guidance note on this requirement is available on the LDP webpages relating to the ecological site assessments of Candidate Sites on the MCC website.)

<sup>1</sup> https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2019-11/securing-biodiversity-enhancements.pdf

If you have prepared an ecological site assessment in 2019 or 2020 in line with this methodology, it can be used for your submission in 2021.

# 2 Requirements for LDP Candidate Site Assessment

Due to the large number of candidate sites proposed, Monmouthshire County Council requires a consistent approach to ecological data gathering and summarisation. All Appraisals must comply with the <u>CIEEM Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal</u> and be undertaken and reviewed by CIEEM members only. All appraisals must include a summary sheet to be used by the LPA (template included at the end of this document). **The LDP should be based on robust evidence and our expectation is that this guidance is followed.** 

It is strongly recommended that ecological information is submitted during the call for candidate sites in 2021.

# 2.1. Desk-Based Study

The desk based study shall be based on the following as a minimum:

- A 1km SEWBReC data search for Protected and Priority Species
- A 1km SEWBReC data search for existing designations including SACs, SPA, Ramsar site, SSSIs, Local Wildlife Sites, Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. This must include 'reasons for designation' for LWS/SINCs.
- Review of relevant ecological information available for the candidate site via NRW Wales
   Environmental Information portal<sup>2</sup>
- Use of the Ancient Woodland Inventory to identify woodland designations ASNW, PAWS
- Identification of whether the site falls within the Juvenile Sustenance zone<sup>3</sup> for the Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC\*
- Review of any previous walkover undertaken for the adopted LDP information is available on the MCC LDP webpages
- Review of the Monmouthshire Ecological Connectivity Assessment<sup>4</sup> to assess the context
  of the candidate site in providing ecological connectivity- information is available on the
  MCC LDP webpages

Greater horseshoe roost Juvenile Sustenance Zone = within 1km of a maternity roost (SSSI)

<sup>2</sup> https://naturalresources.wales/evidence-and-data/maps/wales-environmental-information/?lang=en

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Lesser horseshoe roost Juvenile Sustenance Zone = within 600m of a maternity roost (SSSI)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ecological Connectivity Assessment of Settlements in Monmouthshire Report, 2010

- Appraisals will be expected to consider any relevant ecological records that have been previously generated by studies to inform planning undertaken on or near the sites.
- Consideration of net benefit for biodiversity that could be delivered through development

### 2.2. Field Assessments

The optimum period for the assessment of biodiversity and habitats is between the months of April -July. Sites to be surveyed according to the methodology detailed in the 'Handbook for Phase 1 habitat survey'. The following details and features must also be noted:

- Habitats present and features of nature conservation interest including Priority Habitat (Section 7 Habitat<sup>5</sup>)
- Protected or Priority (Section 7) species signs indicating presence and potential for the habitat to support such
- Site of Importance for Nature Conservation assessment of the condition of the site with respect to its potential to qualify as a SINC
- Consideration of all hedgerows in the context of the Hedgerow Regulations 1997.
- Veteran trees presence of over-mature trees
- Consideration of the value of the site in terms of habitat connectivity
- Consideration of opportunities for delivery of net benefit for biodiversity and ecosystem resilience through development
- Requirements for further ecological survey.

# 2.3. Expertise of consultants

The information will need to be prepared and reviewed by an appropriately experienced ecologist that is a member of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management.

# 2.4. Biodiversity Evaluation

Using the results of the desk-based survey and field assessments, an evaluation of status or impact of the biodiversity of the site shall be made and classified as either: High, Medium or Low value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Environment (Wales) Act 2016

# 2.5. SINC Identification

**Local Development Plan Candidate Sites** must be assessed against the criteria in the 'Guidelines for the Selection of Wildlife Sites in South East Wales' which have been adapted for Monmouthshire.

# 3. Summary of Outputs

The following will be expected to submitted to the LPA during the call for sites in 2021.

# 3.1 Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEAR)

A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEAR) in accordance with the <u>CIEEM Guidelines for</u> <u>Preliminary Ecological Appraisal</u> / <u>Guidelines for ecological report writing</u>.

# 3.2 Site summary form

A Site Summary Form shall be completed for each Candidate Site based on both field survey and desk-based assessments. A blank Site Detail Form and accompanying explanatory notes are provided in Annexes 1 & 2.

# 3.3 Site Values

For all sites, the **overall value** for biodiversity will need to be defined (see evaluation criteria below). Monmouthshire County Council may adjust this value depending on further ecological survey and evidence prior to the Deposit Plan.

# 3.4 SINC Assessment

Candidate sites/parts of sites must be considered for the potential for them to be of SINC quality. If the site, or part of the site meets the SINC criteria, please contact the LPA Biodiversity and Ecology team to discuss how data shall be presented. Designation will be thereafter undertaken by the SINC expert panel<sup>6</sup>.

# 3.5 GIS information

The ecological status of the site will need to be digitised using GIS (Geographical Information Systems) in the format of shape files. A guidance note and template GIS shape file will be available on the Monmouthshire LDP website on the LDP page relating to the ecological site assessments of Candidate Sites. This shall include the format that digitisation will need to take.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SINC Expert Panel includes Monmouthshire County Council, Gwent Wildlife Trust, Natural Resources Wales and Monmouthshire Meadows Group.

# 4. Evaluation Criteria

Sites must be evaluated using the following criteria drawn together using the methodology for the adopted Local Development Plan, Ratcliffe Criteria<sup>7</sup> and Local Wildlife Site guidelines<sup>8</sup>. The evaluation will be checked and quality assured by Monmouthshire County Council. **Deliberate underestimation of ecological value could jeopardise the sites inclusion in the deposit plan.** 

### HIGH (Red)

- Candidate Site includes land designated as SAC/SPA/Ramsar/SSSI
- Site within the Juvenile Sustenance Zone<sup>9</sup> of a Wye Valley Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC Maternity roost SSSI
- Site wholly designated as Local Wildlife Site/SINC/ASNW
- Site identified as Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) quality during field assessment
- Site is in the majority (>50%) composed of Priority Habitat(s) (Section 7)
   Environment (Wales) Act 2016
- Site of existing value for connecting semi-natural habitats in the landscape which is considered to be critical in the context of a protected species or protected site
- Protected species recorded on site to an extent that development will not be possible

# **MEDIUM** (Orange)

- Site close / adjacent to a SAC/SPA/Ramsar/SSSI/LWS/SINC/ASNW
- Site habitat(s) close to SINC quality but threshold for designation not reached
- o Part of the site includes habitats that meet LWS / SINC threshold
- An already designated LWS/SINC present within a candidate site of overall lower biodiversity value

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ratcliffe, 1977

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> South Wales Wildlife Sites Partnership, 2004 (as amended)

<sup>9</sup> Lesser horseshoe roost Juvenile Sustenance Zone = within 600m of a maternity roost (SSSI) Greater horseshoe roost Juvenile Sustenance Zone = within 1km of a maternity roost (SSSI)

- Presence of Priority Habitat (Section 7) within the candidate site (except hedgerow)
- o 'Important' hedgerow/s present
- Veteran / over mature tree(s) present
- Site of existing value for connecting semi-natural habitats in the landscape as identified in the ecological connectivity assessment and/or during field surveys.
- Protected species recorded / reasonable likely to be found on site but unlikely to prevent development if appropriate mitigation and compensation provided
- Site within the Juvenile Sustenance Zone<sup>10</sup> of a Horseshoe Maternity roost (not designated).

## LOW (Green)

- Site not near any protected sites SAC/SPA/Ramsar/SSSI/LWS/ANSW
- Site assessed as not of SINC quality
- Limited or no features of biodiversity interest
- No priority habitats on site (with the exception of hedgerows)
- Site of very limited value for connecting semi-natural habitats in the landscape
- No protected species on or near site

Lesser horseshoe roost Juvenile Sustenance Zone = within 600m of a maternity roost Greater horseshoe roost Juvenile Sustenance Zone = within 1km of a maternity roost

# Reference No: 12565

Site General Information	
Name: Land at Station Road, Raglan	Grid Reference:
	SO 41682 07711
Current land use & management:	Size:
Single poor semi-improved grassland field with	
scattered trees, hedgerows and ditches.	Approx 7.71 ha
Proposed use: Residential	Form Completed by:
Overall Site Evaluation: Medium	Lindsay Taylor

# **Site Summary Table**

Statutory Designated Site(s)	no	Section 7 Habitat(s)	
SAC Juvenile sustenance	no	Protected Species	Yes
zone			
Non-statutory Designated	no	Section 7 Species	no
Site(s)			
SINC Recommendation		Ecological Connectivity	conn
SEWBReC unique data code: Ref: 0178-498			

# **Statutory Designated Sites**

ean Designated Site? (Special
tion) (Underline the
✓
ite? (National Nature Reserve
the relevant designation)
<b>✓</b>
km of a greater horseshoe bat
5551:
✓

# **Non Statutory Designated Sites**

Is the site within or adjacent to a <u>pre-designated</u> Locally Designated Site? (Local Wildlife Site / Site of Importance for Nature Conservation or Ancient Semi Natural Woodland)

Within	
Part of site	
Directly adjacent/within 250m buffer	
Within 500m buffer	
No	✓

List All Relevant Statutory and Non Statutory Designated Sites identified by the desktop study:

- Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC (4.2km from site);
- River Usk SAC (5.5km from site);
- Cuckoo's Meadow SINC (1.1km from site);
- Broom House Meadows SINC (1.5km from site);
- Carreg Wren SINC (1.6km from site);
- Llananant Farm (1.6km from site);
- Lower Penclawdd Farm Wood ASNW/PAWS (1.5km from site);
- Pontyrhydan Brook Wood ASNW/PAWS (Not known).

# **SINC Recommendation**

Is the whole site or part of the	site of SINC (LWS) quality?	(underline whole or part as
appropriate)		
Yes,	Whole	Part
Qualifying criteria		
Borderline		
No	<b>✓</b>	
Has the Monmouthshire Coun	ity Council Biodiversity & Eco	logy Team been contacted to
discuss what further information	on may be required?	
Yes:	No:	
Date:		

# **Priority Habitats and Important Features**

Phase 1 Habitat	Wales Priority Habitat (Section 7)	% of whole site
Hedgerows	Yes	
Broadleaved Trees	Yes	
Species-poor	No	
semi-improved		
grassland		
Ponds (wet ditch)	Yes	

Species-poor	No					
semi-improved						
grassland						
Ponds (wet ditch)	Yes					
Are there any vetera	n trees or over-n	nature	trees or	site?		
			V			
Yes		No	X			
If 'Yes' how many an	d what species?	N/A				
Does the site have a	ny <b>hedgerows</b> ?					
Species-rich (high)	ootential		1170	Length (m)		
Hedgerow Regs Qu	ality		1170			
					1	
Species-poor (medi	um)		N/A	Length (m)		
Has some potential			IN//A			
				•	1	
Species-poor (low)			N/A	Length (m)		
Single species domi	nant AND Gappy		1 11/7			
				,	1	
No						
				_		

Does the site have any wa	ater features present?	
Ponds		
Steams		
Ditches	2	
Other:		
No		
Adjacent Land Uses & H	Ecological Connectiv	ity
hedgerows lies east. Gra	assland fields with ponds bou	n. A pasture/arable field with and to the south east. Further Il areas of woodland and further
Does the site have any eco	ological connectivity value to	either adjacent habitat or the wider
Critical connectivity:	Existing Connectivity X	No connectivity:
	Connectivity Opportunity:	X

# **Net Benefit for Biodiversity**

What ecological enhancements can be delivered by the scheme to provide a net benefit for biodiversity and promote ecosystem resilience?

The development has been designed to retain features of highest ecological importance where possible.

Retained habitats will be subject to supplementary planting using native species of local provenance to increase species diversity. Together with the eastern boundary hedgerow that will be strengthened by planting, the proposed new planting of shrubs, trees and orchard will enhance the hedgerow/tree network through the site and improve connectivity to off-site habitats.

A SUDS feature is proposed along the south-eastern boundary of the site, with a new pond at the eastern part of the site. This will be designed to be of maximum value to biodiversity to include permanently wet areas as well as marshy grassland and a surrounding mosaic of scrub, grassland and trees. This will benefit a range of wildlife including invertebrates, amphibians, birds and bats. The new pond will also provide potential breeding habitat for great crested newt.

The grassland strips along the hedgerows will be managed as tussocky grassland to create a range of thermal regimes and to maximise its potential as a foraging resource for invertebrates and amphibians. The grassland management along the south-eastern boundary will be informal to maximise the importance of this habitat to a range of invertebrates, in turn providing forage for bats, birds and amphibians.

Habitat piles, hibernacula, and bat/bird/insect boxes will be installed at the site to provide new shelter opportunities for a range of wildlife.

Where possible, native species or those with known wildlife benefit will also be used within the more formal landscaped areas to maximise the importance of areas of built development to wildlife.

A Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) to maximise the biodiversity potential of retained and new habitats.

Surveys undertaken in 2017/2018 indicate that the site supports/ has the potential to support protected/ notable species. Update surveys for amphibians (great crested newt), badgers, bats (roosting and activity), and reptiles will be required to determine presence/ likely absence. Dormouse presence is assumed due to a very close record from 2016. It is however considered that, providing the above enhancements and recommendations are met, the illustrative plans show that an overall net benefit in biodiversity can be achieved.

# **Protected Species**

Have protected species been recorded at the site / reasonably likely to be present at site?

Confirmed X	Reasonably likely No	and unlikely to be present
List Species:		Potential to be present on
	Evidence of presence on site	site (habitat and location
SEWBReC record	(seen directly or field signs)	mean that it is likely)
Bats –	Surveys undertaken in 2017	Suitable habitat for foraging
Barbastelle bat	confirmed presence of	and commuting –field,
Brandt's bat	barbastelle, common	hedgerows, trees.
Common pipistrelle	pipistrelle, myotis, noctule	Suitable habitat for roosting –
Daubenton's bat	and soprano pipistrelle	trees.
Greater horseshoe bat	utilising the site for	
Lesser horseshoe bat	commuting and foraging.	
Natterer's bat		
Noctule	No roosts were found onsite	
Whiskered bat	although there are potential	
	features within the trees.	
Badger – 1 record 420m	None	Suitable habitat for foraging
south-west		within grassland, and
		borders.
Birds - Six species	No surveys undertaken	Suitable habitat within
listed on the Birds of	,	grassland, hedgerows and
Conservation Concern		trees.
(BoCC) amber list		11003.
including reed bunting Emberiza schoeniclus,		
kestrel Falco		
tinnunculus, dunnock		

Prunella modularis, kingfisher Alcedo atthis, black-headed gull Chroicocephalus ridibundus, and green sandpiper Trang ochropus.

4 species listed on the Birds of Conservation Concern (BoCC) red list including house sparrow Passer domesticus. song **Turdus** thrush starling philomelos, Sturnus vulgaris, and skylark Alauda arvensis.

Dormouse- 1 record, 350m south-east

Presence is assumed due to proximity of the SEWBReC record.

Suitable habitat within hedgerows

Great crested newt (GCN)- 1 record 50m south-east

Hedgehog – records adjacent Confirmed in pond in adjacent field.

No ponds on site – offsite pond in adjacent field to east with confirmed GCN. Suitable connectivity present with high value terrestrial habitat.

Suitable habitat within hedgerow bases.

Otter/Water vole – Otter 1 record 365m south-		No suitable habitat – potential if ditches are wet.
west, watervole 500m northwest		
northwest		
Reptiles – none	None	Limited suitable habitat –
returned.	None	rough grassland strips along hedgerows.

# **Priority Species**

Are there records for Priority (Section 7) Species (not included above)?			
Yes	Potential	No X	
List Species:	Evidence of presence on	Potential to be present on site	
	site (seen directly or field	(habitat and location mean that	
SEWBReC record	signs)	it is likely)	

### Conclusions

What additional ecological surveys/assessments will be required?

To determine if the site could be	Prior to the submission of planning
allocated for the purpose identified	application to influence site design etc.
	Update surveys for amphibians (GCN),
	badger, bats (activity and roosting) and
	reptiles are likely to be required due to
	the time lapsed since previous surveys.
	Dormouse presence is assumed
	therefore further surveys not required.

# Summary of potential biodiversity constraints

Hedgerows at all the site boundaries and throughout the site.

Hedgerows provide suitable dormouse habitat.

Site provides suitable foraging and commuting habitats for bats.

Suitable terrestrial habitat for great crested newt.

Mature trees scattered throughout site and present in hedgerows in east.

Some rough grassland areas along hedgerows suitable for reptile in the north of the site.

Recommended avoidance / mitigation / compensation measures

Retain habitats of ecological importance (boundary hedgerows with trees/ditch) where possible.

Monmouthshire County Council reserve the right to amend any Site Detail Form upon detailed consideration of the site and quality assurance of the information	
Annex 2: Notes to Accompany Site Detail Form	
ecological connectivity opportunities	
Summary of net benefit for biodiversity to be delivered through development including	
within the more formal landscaped areas to maximise the importance of areas of bui development to wildlife.	τ
Where possible, native species or those with known wildlife benefit will also be used	1
required. SUDS may be used to create new pond habitats.	
Natural Resource Wales (NRW) licence for GCN and dormouse will likely be	
Grassland strips along hedgerows will be appropriately managed as tussocky grassland.	
lighting design and keeping existing dark zones.	
Avoid impacts to nocturnal species potentially present (bats, dormouse) by sensitive	
Avoid direct impacts to retained habitats/immediately off-site trees by creating buffer zones.	

submitted. Full justification of this adjustment will be recorded by the LPA.

# Site General Information

Site reference number, name, National Grid Reference, size (ha) and proposed use. 'Current Use & current management' describes the use of the site at the time of surveying and how it appears to be managed.

### **Overall Site Evaluation**

To be completed based upon Section 4 of this guidance. One of the evaluation categories can be chosen i.e. **High / Medium / Low**. This evaluation could be subject to change upon consideration of the site and quality assurance by Monmouthshire County Council.

### **Summary Table**

The summary table gives a quick reference guide to the ecological constraints of the site. Protected sites are considered on page ii of the form. The summary needs to show an existing protected site (yes), adjacent sites within 250m (adj) adjacent sites within 500m (adj) and no protected sites within 500m (no). Note that development can potentially affect protected sites that are further than 500m away.

The potential for consideration of horseshoe bat SAC juvenile sustenance zones is considered on page ii of the form. The summary should address whether the site falls within the site buffers (yes) or not (no).

Non-statutory sites are considered on page iii of the form. The summary needs to show an existing site (yes), adjacent sites within 250m (adj) adjacent sites within 500m (adj) and no protected sites within 500m (no).

SINC recommendation is shown on page iv of the form. The table indicates whether the whole site is recommended for SINC designation (yes), part of the site is recommended for SINC designation (part), the site may meet the criteria following further survey and examination (borderline site – bord), or the site is not recommended for SINC designation (no).

Wales Priority Habitat (Section 7) is considered according to the table on page v of the form. This shows whether these habitats cover over 50% of a site (yes), less than 50% of a site (part) or are not present (no).

Projected and Priority species are considered on page vii of the form. Presence (**yes**), reasonable likelihood of presence / possible presence (**poss**) and likely absence (**no**) of Protected and Priority species are indicated in the summary table.

Ecological connectivity is considered on page vi of the form. The summary table indicates the importance of that connectivity from critical (**crit**), some (**conn**), to no connectivity (**no**).

### **Statutory Designated Sites**

The information regarding designated sites shall be obtained via SEWBReC. Some interpretation of that data will need to be undertaken to establish the proximity of sites to Juvenile Sustenance Zones for horseshoe bats associated with the Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC (maternity roost SSSIs). The juvenile sustenance zone for Greater

### **Non Statutory Designated Sites**

The information regarding SINCs/LWS sites shall be obtained via SEWBReC (site name and reason for designation). Detailed site designation forms (recommended for sites within 250m of the Candidate Site) will be available from Monmouthshire County Council (SINCs) and Gwent Wildlife Trust (LWS).

Designated ancient woodland is defined as ancient semi-natural woodland (ASNW) – areas that have been wooded since at least 1600. ASNW is listed on Ancient Woodland Inventory 2011 and available on the <u>Lie</u> website. However, in Monmouthshire, a large number of ASNW are designated as SINC and shall therefore be generated during the above data search.

### SINC Recommendation

This section indicates whether the whole site or part of the site meets the criteria for SINC designation in Guidelines for the Selection of Wildlife Sites in South Wales adapted for Monmouthshire. The criterion under which the site qualifies shall be noted. The LPA Biodiversity & Ecology Officers should be contacted for relevant templates and to discuss the value of the site / part of the site.

### **Priority Habitats and Important Features**

Habitats as defined by the Phase 1 survey guidelines and Wales Priority Habitat (defined as those listed as Section 7 Habitats of Principal Importance for Conserving Biological Diversity in Wales

under the Environment (Wales) Act 2016) shall be listed in the table. A % value for the habitat types shall be listed.

This information will also be demonstrated on the GIS shape files submitted to the LPA (see separate guidance).

Guidance for assessment of the importance of hedgerows and veteran/over mature trees is included in Annex 3 & 4.

### **Ecological Connectivity**

Sites shall be assessed for existing value for connecting semi-natural habitats in the landscape using Ecological Connectivity Assessment and/or during field surveys. Both habitats and species need to be considered. Opportunities for delivery of habitat connectivity to be listed under the Enhancements section on page viii of the form.

### **Net Benefit for Biodiversity**

Sites shall be assessed for opportunities to deliver net benefit for biodiversity by reviewing desk study information and during field assessments. Both habitats and species need to be considered. A summary of opportunities shall be provided in the site detail form with more information provided in ecological assessments and masterplans as the schemes come forward.

### **Protected species**

These tables indicate the presence or potential presence of protected species, based on SEWBReC records, the desk survey and field survey results. Species with protection or designation at several levels are listed under their highest degree of protection only.

Field signs and sightings are those recorded during the Phase 1 habitat survey.

Potential presence is based on the habitats on and adjacent to the site, the ecology of the species, and knowledge of the species distribution.

Protected Species are defined as those species listed on Schedules 2 and 4 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 or species listed under Schedules 5 and 8 of the

Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) or the Protection of Badgers Act, 1992. Species protected from sale only are excluded.

Wales Priority Species are defined as those listed as species of Principal Importance for Conserving Biological Diversity in Wales under Section 7 of the Environment (Wales) Act 2016.

### Conclusions

Additional surveys and assessments are listed. These are based on the potential presence of protected species as detailed on page vii of the form. Any surveys that may be necessary prior to the allocation of the site should be identified e.g. horseshoe bat surveys

Potential constraints are summarised, based on the findings of the desk-based assessment and field survey, as recorded in previous sections.

Recommendations for mitigation and net benefit for biodiversity are suggested. These are intended as an indication only, as further survey will be needed to inform mitigation, and the design and purpose of the development will determine ecological impacts and influence mitigation and enhancement possibilities. Reference can be made to the Ecological Connectivity Assessment where appropriate.

### **Annex 3: Hedgerow Classification**

HIGH:

Species-rich containing at least five native woody species in a 30 metre sample. Consider features such as banks, ditches, standing trees, ground flora associated and connecting hedges/woodland areas.

Four woody species are recorded and other features are considered important. This would include, potential dormouse habitat.

**MEDIUM**:

Less than five native woody species in a 30 metre stretch, without other features present.

Less than four native woody species, with other features present

LOW:

Less than four native woody species, without other features present. Gappy hedges, newly planted.

### Annex 4: Veteran and Over Mature Tree Classification

HIGH:

Veteran trees >3.7m circumference, 1.3m from base (to include native and non-native species)

Large over-mature trees >2m circumference, or estimated to be over 200 years old, which exhibit characteristics such as dead wood, rot hollows and bracket fungi. To include native and non-native species.