

# Monmouthshire County Council

# 2021 Air Quality Progress Report

# In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995

# Local Air Quality Management

Date: 31 August 2021

Information	Monmouthshire County Council	
Local Authority Officer	Paul White	
Department	Environmental Health	
Address	County Hall. The Rhadyr, Usk, Monmouthshire, NP15 1GA	
Telephone	01873 735420	
E-mail	environmentalhealth@monmouthshire.gov.uk	
Report Reference Number	MCC/LAQM/APR/2021	
Date31st August 2021		

# **Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area**

### Air Quality in Monmouthshire

This 2021 Annual Progress Report (APR) presents the results of the air quality monitoring undertaken by Monmouthshire County Council in 2020. Previous reports (2011 to 2020) are available on the Councils website - <u>http://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/air-quality</u>.

Generally, air quality in Monmouthshire is good, however there are some hotspots of poor air quality close to busy or congested roads. As such these roads are monitored closely for nitrogen dioxide, which is one of the main pollutants from vehicle emissions.

In 2020 this monitoring was undertaken at a total of 49 locations: -

- 47 nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes were located at 45 roadside locations (one location was a triplicate co-location study with the air quality monitoring station) in Chepstow, Pwllmeyric, Usk, Woodside, Monmouth and Abergavenny.
- 1 roadside Air Quality Monitoring Station (AQMS) that house three automatic analysers to monitor nitrogen dioxide, and particulate matter (both PM<sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub>) (on the pavement of the A48 in Chepstow).
- 4 ambient air quality sensors that monitor nitrogen dioxide, nitric oxides, carbon monoxide and ozone located at four schools. Chepstow Comprehensive and Usk Primary School were installed in 2019, and an additional 2 sensors were installed late in 2020 at Monmouth Comprehensive and St Mary's Primary.

Previously monitored locations that were discontinued when it became clear that the relevant air quality objective levels were not in danger of being exceeded include - Raglan, Caldicot, Undy and Magor.

There are two roads in Monmouthshire that have been declared Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) due to having exceeded the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level in the past: -

• Bridge Street in Usk - declared 2005

• Hardwick Hill (A48) in Chepstow – declared 2007.

Both AQMAs have Action Plans and Steering Groups set up to identify options for improving air quality, the Action Plans are available from the following websites:

http://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/air-quality

https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/local-authorities?la\_id=403

In 2020, due to the implications of the COVID pandemic and lockdowns, air quality in Monmouthshire improved compared to 2019 at all locations. 2020 concentrations were the lowest to date.

There were no exceedances of the nitrogen dioxide annual objective level (as measure by nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes, the automatic analyser and the air quality sensors), no exceedances of the nitrogen dioxide hourly objective level, no exceedances of the PM10 annual and 24-hour objective level (all measured by the automatic analyser).

Whilst there are no  $PM_{2.5}$  objectives included in regulations for the purpose of LAQM in Wales, we make consideration as to whether monitored  $PM_{2.5}$  annual mean concentrations exceed either the  $25\mu g/m^3$  EU Limit Value or the  $10\mu g/m^3$  WHO Guideline. In 2020 neither the EU nor WHO value were exceeded (as measured by the automatic analyser).

#### Actions to Improve Air Quality

Actions to improve air quality include regular meetings of the two steering groups set up to progress the action plan measures of the two Air Quality Management Areas. In addition the council began an anti-idling campaign – primarily targeting schools, but will be rolled out to other locations. Schools were invited to design anti-idling posters in 2020 and winners were made up into signage to use around the county. The pandemic slowed this campaign, but it is due to go into action in 2021.

# **Local Priorities and Challenges**

Priorities for the local authority in 2021 to improve air quality is to work with partners, including Welsh Government to progress the Chepstow Transport Study, progress the Usk Town Strategic Master Plan, and progress the anti-idling campaign.

#### How to Get Involved

Further information on air quality can be found at -

http://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/air-quality

#### **Table of Contents**

Ex	ecut	tive	Summary: Air Quality in Our Area	i
А	ir Q	ualit	ty in Monmouthshire	i
A	Action	ns te	o Improve Air Quality	ii
L	.ocal	Pri	orities and Challenges	iii
F	low	to G	Get Involved	iii
1	Ac	tior	ns to Improve Air Quality	1
1	.1	Pre	evious Work in Relation to Air Quality	1
1	.2	Air	Quality Management Areas	5
1	.3	Imp	plementation of Action Plans	7
2	Air	Qı	ality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives	.21
2	2.1	Su	mmary of Monitoring Undertaken in 2020	.21
	2.1.	.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	. 21
	2.1.	.2	Non-Automating Monitoring Sites	. 22
2	2.2	202	20 Air Quality Monitoring Results	.44
2	2.3	Co 63	mparison of 2020 Monitoring Results with Previous Years and the Air Quality Objectiv	ves
	2.3.	.1	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	. 63
	2.3.	.2	Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	. 68
	2.3.		Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	
2	2.4		mmary of Compliance with AQS Objectives as of 2020	
3	Ne	w L	ocal Developments	.72
3	8.1	Ro	ad Traffic Sources (and Other Transport)	.72
3	8.2	Ind	ustrial / Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources / Commercial Sources	.72
3	3.3	Oth	ner Sources	.72
4	Ро	lici	es and Strategies Affecting Airborne Pollution	.74
4	.1	Air	Quality Planning Policies	.74
4	.2	Loc	cal Transport Plans and Strategies	.75
4	.3	Act	ive Travel Plans and Strategies	.79
4	.4	Loc	cal Authorities Well-being Objectives	. 90
4	.5	Gre	een Infrastructure Plans and Strategies	.91
4	.6	Clir	nate Change Strategies	. 92
5	Со	ncl	usion and Proposed Actions	.94
5	5.1	Co	nclusions from New Monitoring Data	.94
5	5.2	Co	nclusions relating to New Local Developments	.94
5	5.3	Oth	ner Conclusions	. 95
5	5.4	Pro	posed Actions	.95
Re	fere	nce	es	.96

Appendices	98
Appendix A: Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) Data	99
Appendix B: A Summary of Local Air Quality Management	103
Purpose of an Annual Progress Report	
Air Quality Objectives	
Appendix C: Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC	105
QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring	
Diffusion Tube Annualisation	105
Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors	105
Factor from Local Co-location Studies	105
Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use	106
NO <sub>2</sub> Fall-off with Distance from the Road	107
QA/QC of Automatic Monitoring	
PM10 and PM2.5 Monitoring Adjustment	107
Automatic Monitoring Annualisation	108
$NO_2$ Fall-off with Distance from the Road	108
Appendix D: AQMA Boundary Maps	110
Appendix E: Impact of COVID-19 upon LAQM	112
Glossary of Terms	113

#### Tables

Table 1.1 – Declared Air Quality Management Areas	6
Table 1.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality	8
Table 2.1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites	25
Table 2.2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	27
Table 2.3 – Annual Mean NO₂ Monitoring Results (μg/m³)	44
Table 2.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results, Number of 1-Hour Means > 200 $\mu$ g/m	ו <sup>3</sup>
	55
Table 2.5 – Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results ( $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> )	56
Table 2.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results, Number of PM <sub>10</sub> 24-Hour Means >	,
50μg/m <sup>3</sup>	59
Table 2.7 – PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Results (µg/m³)	60

# Figures

Figure 2.1 – Map of Automatic Monitoring Site (AQMA) https://airquality.gov.wales/	26
Figure 2.2 – Maps of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	31
Figure 2.3 – Trends in Annual Mean NO2 Concentrations	48
Figure 2.5 – Trends in Annual Mean PM10 Concentrations	57
Figure 2.7 – Trends in Annual Mean PM2.5 Concentrations	61

# **1** Actions to Improve Air Quality

## **1.1 Previous Work in Relation to Air Quality**

The conclusions of previous local action in relation to air quality is summarised below in chronological order. Each report can be found on Monmouthshire County Councils website.

Report Name	Date	Outcome	
		Detailed Assessment required for nitrogen dioxide at four roadside locations. Two in Monmouth, and one each in Usk and Chepstow	
Interim Detailed Assessment (9 months monitoring)	November 2004	AQMA required for Bridge Street in Usk. Chepstow and Monmouth monitoring results were marginal and AQMA's not declared	
Detailed Assessment (12 months monitoring)	February 2005	AQMA for Usk confirmed. Chepstow and Monmouth did not require an AQMA	
		Confirmed nitrogen dioxide exceedance in Usk. Elsewhere levels were below the objective levels for all pollutants although Hardwick Hill in Chepstow was close	
AQMA declared for Bridge Street, Usk	November 2005	The location is shown in Figure 1.1.	
Updating and Screening Assessment (Round 3)	March 2006	Exceedances of Nitrogen Dioxide level on Hardwick Hill, Chepstow. Decided to progress straight to declaration of an AQMA	
AQMA declared forApril 2007The location is shown in Figure 1.2Hardwick Hill, Chepstow		The location is shown in Figure 1.2	
Further Assessment for UskApril 2007Confirmed the AQMA should be retained with no charAQMAboundary		Confirmed the AQMA should be retained with no changes to the boundary	
Further Assessment for Chepstow AQMA	May 2008	One exceedance of ten monitoring locations representing 8 residential properties. Rather than cycle between increasing and	

		decreasing boundaries it was decided to keep the original AQMA boundary	
Progress Report	portNovemberNO2 exceedances limited to the two AQMA's.2008		
Updating and Screening Assessment (Round 4)			
Usk Air Quality Action Plan	September 2009	Agreed by Welsh Assembly Government on November 2009. 14 proposed measures to improve air quality	
Chepstow Action Plan Stakeholder workshop Report	November 2009	Outcomes of two stakeholder workshops with local residents	
Progress Report	ort May 2010 Only the two AQMA's exceeded nitrogen dioxide objective Detailed Assessment required.		
Hardwick Hill, Chepstow Origin & Destination Study			
Progress Report	Degress Report June 2011 Nitrogen dioxide is still the only pollutant that exceeds level, and these exceedances are contained in the AQMAs in Usk and Chepstow. It concluded that a Detaile for air quality within Monmouthshire was not nece pollutant		
Chepstow Air Quality ActionAugustAccepted by the Welsh Government in SeptemberPlan2011proposed measure for improving air quality		Accepted by the Welsh Government in September 2011 with 29 proposed measure for improving air quality	
Updating and Screening Assessment (Round 5)	April 2012	Air quality within Monmouthshire continues to meet the relevant air quality objectives outside of the declared AQMAs however levels at Merthyr Road Abergavenny were close to the objective level. Within the AQMAs there are still exceedances of the nitrogen dioxide objective at Hardwick Hill, Chepstow and Bridge Street, Usk. A Detailed Assessment was not required; however, it was decided to increase monitoring on Merthyr Road from one to three locations. These were installed mid-2012.	

		Further details below
Progress Report	April 2013	Nitrogen dioxide was still the only pollutant that exceeded the objective level. The two Air Quality Management Areas still exceeded. Nitrogen Dioxide levels across the County increased sharply in 2012, which lead to Wyebridge Street in Monmouth being close to the objective level, and Merthyr Road in Abergavenny slightly exceeding the objective level. A Detailed Assessment was not undertaken, as it was decided that the results of the 2013 monitoring would be required to ensure 2012 was not an unusually high year. To support this and in preparation for a potential Detailed Assessment for Merthyr Road, an additional three diffusion tubes were to be installed on Merthyr road (to increase monitoring from one in 2011, to three in 2012, and six in 2013.
Progress Report	April 2014	Nitrogen dioxide was still the only pollutant that exceeded the objective level. The two Air Quality Management Areas still exceeded. Nitrogen dioxide levels were lower in 2013 than 2012 at all but one location and no location outside the two AQMA's exceeded the objective level. It was decided that a further 13 diffusion tubes were to be installed in Monmouth at the end of 2013 in preparation for the 2014 monitoring year. These were installed to support a more detailed assessment of nitrogen dioxide levels in the town centre and along the A40.
Monmouth Six Month Detailed Assessment	September 2014	The report provided a summary of monitoring data for the period January–July 2014 and indicated that the annual mean objective was likely to be met at all sites. However, an assessment of two Air Quality Models undertaken for developments under the planning process identified possible exceedances elsewhere in the town. It was decided to install further diffusion tubes at these locations in January 2015, and to liaise with Natural Resources Wales to install an automatic monitoring station for NO2 and PM10, PM2.5 on the pavement of Wyebridge Street.
Updating and Screening Assessment (Round 6)	April 2015	The two AQMA's continued to experience exceedances of the nitrogen dioxide annual mean at two locations in each town. Concentrations in 2014 were fairly similar to those recorded in 2013

		(which had seen a decrease from 2012). There were no exceedances outside the AQMA's
		The full year's monitoring for Monmouth had confirmed the findings of the September 2014 six-month Detailed Assessment.
		The USA confirmed that further diffusion tube monitoring was being undertaken in 2015 in Monmouth, and that the NRW's MMF had also been installed in December 2014.
		There were no exceedances of nitrogen dioxide in Abergavenny, although two locations were close enough to warrant continued monitoring.
Progress Report 2016	April 2016	Nitrogen dioxide, PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations decreased at all locations (diffusion tube and automatic analysers). One location exceeded the nitrogen dioxide annual mean in the Chepstow AQMA, there were no other exceedances (including the Usk AQMA). This was the first year Usk did not have a location exceeding the objective level.
		NRW's MMF monitoring in Monmouth was also summarised. It did not identify exceedance at a relevant receptor.
Progress Report 2017	September 2017	This PR confirms that air quality within the Chepstow Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) continues to exceed the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level at one location, however for the second year all six monitoring locations the Usk AQMA were below the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level. There were no recorded exceedances in Monmouth or Abergavenny.
Annual Progress Report 2018	September 2018	Air Quality within the Chepstow AQMA continues to exceed the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level at one location. Third year with no exceedance in Usk AQMA. No exceedances elsewhere. Concentrations broadly similar to 2016. Additional monitoring undertaken in Woodside south of Usk AQMA.
Annual Progress Report 2019	September 2019	Air Quality within the Chepstow AQMA continues to exceed the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level at one location. Fourth year with no exceedance in Usk AQMA, and first year with concentrations under $36\mu g/m^3$ (10% of objective level). No exceedances elsewhere. Generally, concentrations in all towns were the lowest ever recorded.

Annual Progress Report	September	Air Quality within the Chepstow AQMA continued to exceed the
2020	2020	nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level at one location. Fifth
		year with no exceedance in Usk AQMA, and second year with
		concentrations under $36\mu g/m^3$ (10% of objective level). No
		exceedances elsewhere, and no PM10 or PM2.5 exceedances.
		Generally, concentrations in all towns were similar to the previous
		year but slightly higher.

### **1.2 Air Quality Management Areas**

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when air quality is close to or above an acceptable level of pollution (known as the air quality objective (Please see Appendix A)). After declaring an AQMA the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place to improve air quality to at least the air quality objectives, if not even better. AQMA(s) are seen by local authorities as the focal points to channel resources into the most pressing areas of pollution as a priority.

A summary of AQMAs declared by Monmouthshire County Council can be found in Table 1.1. Further information related to declared or revoked AQMAs, including maps of AQMA boundaries are available online at <u>http://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/air-quality</u> and

https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/local-authorities?la\_id=403

AQMA	Relevant Air Quality Objective(s)	Comments on Air Quality Trend	Description	Action Plan
Bridge Street Usk	NO₂ annual mean	There have been improvements in air quality in the AQMA over the last 7 years. There have been no exceedances for 6 years, and under 10% of the Objective level for 3 years	An area encompassing Bridge Street, from its junction with Newmarket Street up to and including the area around the junction with Castle Parade and Porthycarne Street	http://www.monmouthshire .gov.uk/app/uploads/2013/ 08/Usk-Action-Plan-Final- September-2009.pdf
Hardwick Hill, Chepstow	NO <sub>2</sub> annual mean	There have been improvements in air quality in the AQMA over the last 5 years. One location continues to exceed. Significant improvements since 2017	An area encompassing properties either side of the A48, between the roundabout with the A466 to the west and extending east just beyond the junction with the B4293 at Hardwick Terrace	http://www.monmouthshire .gov.uk/app/uploads/2013/ 06/Chepstow-AQAP-Final- 31-August-2011.pdf

AMQA boundary maps within Monmouthshire can be viewed at <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/local-authorities?la\_id=403</u> and are included in Appendix D.

## **1.3 Implementation of Action Plans**

Monmouthshire County Council has taken forward a number of measures during 2020 in pursuit of improving local air quality. Details of all measures completed, in progress or planned are set out in Table 1.2. More detail on these measures can be found in the Air Quality Action Plan relating to each designated AQMA.

Air Quality Action Plans are continuously reviewed and updated whenever deemed necessary, but no less frequently than once every five years. Such updates are completed in close consultation with local communities by way of regular steering group meetings.

Key completed measures are:

- Implementation of a 20 mile an hour zone through the Usk Air Quality Management Area, enforcement of double yellow line parking, lorry watch scheme to help enforce the Road Traffic Order, as well as improved signage.
- Starting a new Strategic Vision for Usk and Llanbadoc that is scoping options for various town improvements, including traffic and air quality issues.
- Completion of a WelTAG (Welsh Transport Appraisal Guidance) stage 2 assessment for air quality based improvements in Chepstow.
- Completion of WeITAG stage 1 study for improvements to High Beech Roundabout in Chepstow

Monmouthshire County Council expects the following measures to be completed over the course of the next reporting year:

- Role out of anti-idling campaign at schools
- WeITAG study Stage 2 for High Beech Roundabout (Chepstow)
- Next stage of WeITAG study to be determined.
- Progress Usk and Llanbadoc Strategic Masterplan

#### Table 1.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

#### Usk Action plan

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementation Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
6.	Management of on and off-street parking consideration of delivery time strategy	мсс	Complete		increased enforcement of on street parking.	enforcement of double	Complete, however ongoing work required to continually engage with police, Civil Enforcement Officers and chamber of commerce	Reducing on street parking has improved congestion at peak times.
5.	HGV Restriction along Bridge Street – to be informed by surveys and subsequent report	мсс	Complete		to enforce due to its length and proximity to the		Current RTO: - Signage in place Lorry Watch – ongoing	Emissions reductions if HGVs are taken off the route through Usk. Likely to be the most beneficial measure in

					been allowed to breach the RTO without	All HGV's reported are contacted	terms of reducing emissions
					penalty. In addition, there are local businesses		and concentrations.
					who use HGV's that are exempt. As such the		
					RTO has not been successful in the past.	This has resulted in a reduction in the number of HGV's using the	
					MCC has spent a great deal of time working	town as a short cut.	
					with the police and local businesses and town	Typically once contacted the	
					council to replace the RTO with a more	companies do not offend again.	
					effective one. First a shortened RTO was	companies do not oriend again.	
					proposed, but opposed by local		
					businesses, secondly a time ban		
					(e.g. no HGV's at all in peak traffic times) but		
					was again opposed.		
					It has now been decided to no longer pursue		
					the implementation of new RTO's however the		
					current one is still in place.		
					Work has been undertaken, however, to try to		
					make the exiting RTO more effective - Signage		
					improved (sat nav signs and CCTV signs), and		
					a Lorry Watch Scheme was implemented and		
					run by a consultancy, but has now been taken		
					over by Trading Standards. HGV's in breach of		
					the RTO are recorded by local volunteers to		
					TS, who send warning letters, and		
					if necessary fines to the company.		
3.	Encourage walking as a	мсс	On going	n/a	MCC undertaken Active Travel Act duties	On going	Unlikely to be significant
	mode of transport				including identification of walking routes		emissions reductions.
					in Usk and improvements to routes.		
8.	Increase the number of	мсс	Ongoing	Numbers of public	Bus companies report loss of money from	Ongoing	Unlikely to be significant
	public transport services			transport services	routes and require additional MCC		emissions reductions.

	to and from Usk. include community transport				funding. Currently MCC priority is to return be services to previous levels			
9.	Contain indirect emissions from future development and from changes of land use that would generate traffic	мсс	0 0	Numbers of air quality assessments requested	Proactive engagement with Planning authority hat may have air quality implications			Could be significant depending on numbers of planning applications.
15	Travel Awareness Campaigns	MCC	Ongoing but not specifically targeting Usk at the moment	n/a	None in Usk	n/a		Sustained travel awareness campaigns coupled with improvements to alternatives could reduce car use and therefore reduce emissions.
New		MCC in partnership	- 3- 3	Numbers of Travel Plans in place	Steering Group is a Governor at Usk School and is proactively engaging with the School to encourage improve parking arrangements and to encourage walking on behalf of	undertaken at School, coupled with potential education opportunities using the monitoring data. Educating the children should help inform parents of emissions from school drop-offs and encourage alternative.	monitoring installed at school in summer 2018, and school taking part in Eco School diffusion tube monitoring education package. Monitoring data from the sensor will be available to the school for teaching purposes via a website.	at congestion hotspots.

1.	Encourage more cycling: implement hierarchy of urban and inter-urban cycle routes	мсс	On-going	Numbers of cyclists	will include Usk cycle and walking routes	MCC working through the stages of the Active Travel Act. Specific work to create new walking and cycling connections to Coleg Gwent and MCC County Hall, and then South towards Pontypool. – Planning applications made		Potential reductions in emissions if modal shift from car to cycling.
2.	Support and promote facilities for cyclists at school and in town centres	мсс	On-going	Numbers of cyclists	Provision of cycle racks on Bridge Street	Part of Active Travel Act work		Potential reductions in emissions if modal shift from car to cycling.
13.	Car club scheme	мсс	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not being progressed – unlikely to be effective	n/a	n/a
New	Develop kerbside recycling collections to reduce traffic to civic amenity site	мсс	Complete	n/a	Complete	n/a		Unlikely to have a major impact on emissions. Included in original Action Plan to reduce number of household trips to Municipal Refuse Site.
14.	Flexible home working, work times etc.	мсс	Ongoing	Number of work- related trips in private single occupancy cars.	Promoted within MCC.	Much greater levels of homeworking within MCC		Due to COVID 19 pandemic from early 2020 - home working is much more prevalent and acceptable for

							employers. The benefits to the environment have been seen. – especially March – June 2020. MCC has stated that they will encourage more home working and remote meetings
7.	Implement new 20mph speed limits/ zones on Bridge Street	MCC	Complete	2018 Modelling undertaken for 20mph – however it showed	Concept was considered by MCC and a consultant. This work has now been	On-going – early stages of viability work	Traffic studies have shown that vehicles in Usk do not tend to exceed 20mph in the day. However, it is possible that less accelerating and braking up to and down from 30mph might reduce emissions, and a 20mph zone might discourage certain vehicles, who could take a faster road. 2018 was the first full year of 20mph, and emissions in Usk were recorded at an all-time low (however this occurred Countywide), this continued into 2019 with only a slight increase in emissions. In addition, it is possible that this will be the first step in making Usk town centre a more pedestrian orientated place, rather than a vehicle through route. Options are

							being looked into to create a Shared Space.
	Investigation of altering traffic flows through the town	мсс	Complete	Considered again in 20 number of options. Ea increased congestion a	ch option, however, nd emissions.	Will not be progressed as a standalone option, however will be considered again alongside other measures as party of the Usk Strategic Masterplan	Increased emissions

#### Chepstow Action Plan

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
1.	Chepstow integrated Transport Strategy	MCC	n/a	n/a	No progress	No progress	n/a	n/a
2.	Limit HGV weight or emissions	Welsh Government	n/a	n/a	No progress as A48 still a trunk road and considered not appropriate	Considered in 2013 public consultation. Considered again in 2018 WeITAG study. Not considered feasible	n/a	n/a
3.	Amend MOVA at Tesco (Upper Street) traffic lights	Welsh Government	n/a	n/a	Completed	Completed	April 2012	Anecdotal evidence suggests less congestion on Hardwick Hill

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
4.	Encourage car sharing	MCC	Ongoing	None	There are a number of informal car sharing locations people use. MCC is looking in =to ways to formalise them	Transition Chepstow have taken lead in identifying places for car sharing carparks, and using an app to advertise them. One such location is Chepstow Race Course. MCC currently looking into finding a gate for the carpark so the Race Course will allow the use of its Car park for this purpose	Ongoing	Depending on the uptake – and provided the car sharing removes vehicles from the AQMA.
5.	Monitor developments in adjoining areas	МСС	Ongoing	Number of air quality assessment asked for	Good working relationship with planners. Also liaise with Forest of Dean regularly	Good working relationship with planners. Also liaise with Forest of Dean regularly	Ongoing	Could be potential emissions reductions in the long term (or at least reductions on increases).
6.	Improve Council integration on planning issues	MCC	Ongoing	Consultation between departments	Good working relationship with planners.	Good working relationship with planners.	Ongoing	Could be potential emissions reductions in the long term (or at least reductions on increases).

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
7.	Education of HGV operators	мсс	Ongoing	n/a	None specifically for Chepstow	None specifically for Chepstow	Ongoing	Could be potential emissions reductions with eco driving techniques.
8.	Improve cross boundary working	MCC	Ongoing	n/a	MCC Env Health sits on Forest of Dean AQ Steering Committee	Good integration with Forest of Dean	Ongoing	Could be potential emissions reductions in the long term (or at least reductions on increases).
9.	Include LDP Policy covering air quality	MCC	Complete	n/a	Policy in the LDP.	New LDP currently in progress and Air Quality will be a factor.	Ongoing	In the long term could be significant if affects major developments. However, there is a lot of pressure on MCC to increase housing especially in the south of the County. There are contradictory pressures from Government of increasing housing and reducing vehicle emissions
10.	Redesign High Beech Roundabout	Welsh Government in partnership with MCC	n/a	Completion of roundabout improvements	Discussions in relation to new development. Contributions being secured through planning process. This will be implemented as a phased program of works including	Considered again in 2018 WeITAG study but not recommended for progress. WG undertaken Stage 1 WeITag study in 2020.	Several years after Station Road improvements to allow monitoring and improvements in car engines.	Localised improvements round the Roundabout. Potential improvements on the A48 assuming reduced queuing times.

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
					Station Road junction improvement and Bulwark junction improvement	Stage 2 expected to be completed by April 2022	Stage 2 due by April 2022	
11.	Target schools Traffic	MCC in partnership	Ongoing	Number of Travel Plans in place	Walking buses being organised by Transition Chepstow	Env Health installed air quality sensor at Chepstow Comp in Summer 2018. Hopeful the data will be used by the school as well to educate pupils, and have an impact on parent's school runs	Sensor installed. Monitoring in progress Work with school over next 12 months to try to include the data in education	Could potentially provide reductions in emissions at locations close to schools, or at congestion hotspots.
12.	Promote Sustainable transport as part of new developments	MCC	Ongoing	n/a	General improvements as part of planning process	General improvements as part of planning process	Ongoing	Could be potential emissions reductions in the long term (or at least reductions on increases).
13.	Promote town centre developments	MCC	n/a	n/a	1 town centre development with planning permission, and 1 in the LDP	1 town centre development with planning permission still under construction, and 1 in the LDP	n/a	n/a
14.	Rail Park and Ride	MCC	n/a	n/a	On-going – Funding applied for & P&R	Race course require a gate/barrier before allowing it to be	n/a	Park and Ride on race course likely to cause some

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
					from racecourse complete. Improvements made to the carpark to enable additional parking and room for buses	used. MCC looking into finding. Considered in 2018 WeITAG Stage 1 and recommended for further consideration at stage 2		emissions reductions on Hardwick Hill.
15.	Support the climate change and sustainable energy strategy	MCC	n/a	n/a	General support, particularly for transport measures	No specific progress	Ongoing	Unlikely to be significant.
16.	Travel Plans	MCC	Ongoing	Numbers of Travel Plans in place	No specific progress in Chepstow other than Active Travel work	n/a	Ongoing	Unlikely to be significant unless resources put into Travel Planning.
17.	Bypass	Welsh Government	n/a	n/a	Not being progressed at present however considered in 2018 WeITAG stage 1 assessment, and recommended for further appraisal at Stage 2	Four potential route were considered in the 2018 WeITAG Stage 1 study. One route was recommended for further consideration at Stage 2.	Unknown at present	Likely to take a substantial amount of traffic off the A48 through Chepstow
18.	Improve bus services	MCC	Ongoing	Bus patronage	C5 service used to serve Chepstow Rail Station has been re-	Improved public transport integration was considered in	Ongoing	Some improvements if modal shift from car to bus and train.

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
					timed, enabling greater integration with Gloucester and Newport bound rail services.	2018 WeITAG stage 1 assessment and recommended for further consideration at stage 2		
19.	Improve public transport integration	MCC	Ongoing	Bus and train patronage	As above	As above	Ongoing	Some emissions improvements if modal shift from car to bus and train
20.	Origin and Destination survey	MCC	2011	Survey undertaken	Complete	Completed in 2011	Completed	Identified a significant number of HGV's were using A48 as a through route to avoid paying the Severn Bridge Toll
21.	Provide information for residents	MCC	Ongoing	n/a	Information provided on MCC website, and at meetings	MCC website updated. Steering group meetings	Ongoing	n/a
22.	Target HGVs using unsuitable satnav routes	MCC	Not progressed	n/a	Included in 2013 public consultation however Welsh Government considered it in Detail Design stage in 2015/16 and will not progress at this time	No specific progress	n/a	n/a
23.	Improve rail services to the town	Network Rail/ MCC	Ongoing	Numbers of train passengers	From May 24 2011, 14 more Cross Country trains a day	Improved public transport integration was considered in	Ongoing	Potential emissions reductions if modal shift from car to train

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
					will stop at Chepstow to gauge customer demand for a more frequent service.	2018 WeITAG stage 1 assessment and recommended for further consideration at stage 2		
24.	Upgrade the railway station	Network Rail/ MCC	Not progressed	n/a	Improved parking and bus drop of space	None	n/a	n/a
25.	Improve cycling facilities	MCC	On-going	Uptake of cycle routes	Walking/cycle routes identified in Active Travel plan	A number of routes identified for improvement. A-B connecting Communities actively working on improving the Wye Wander Route	n/a	Greater uptake of cycle routes should help reduce local traffic in and around Chepstow
26.	Bus Park and Ride/ Share	MCC	On-going	Numbers of people using P&R	P&R set up from Chepstow Race Course	Considered in 2018 WeITAG Stage 1 and recommended for further consideration at stage 2	n/a	Park and Ride on race course likely to cause some emissions reductions on Hardwick Hill
27.	Distribution hub	MCC	Not progressed	n/a	Considered unsuitable for Chepstow	Not progressed	n/a	n/a
28.	Lobby for change in toll system at Severn Bridge	MCC/ Welsh Government	On-going	n/a	Toll removal occurred in 2019	WG to undertake a before and after	December 2018	The Origin and Destination study identified a significant

Action Plan Measure No.	Measure	Lead authority	Implementa tion Phase	Indicator	Progress to date	Progress in the last 12 months	Estimated Completion Date	Comments relating to emissions reductions
						traffic study to determine how the toll removal has impacted	With traffic study undertaken in 2018 (before) and same time of year in 2019 (after	number of HGV's using Hardwick Hill to avoid Tolls, hence Toll removal was included in the Action Plan. However, it now appears that Toll removal will remove a barrier for car use, and could increase traffic. Chepstow is being marketed as a cheaper housing market for Bristol Commuters.
29.	Promote Rail Freight	MCC/ Network Rail	Not progressed	n/a	No specific progress in Chepstow	No specific progress in Chepstow	n/a	n/a

# 2 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives

## 2.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken in 2020

#### 2.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

This section sets out what monitoring has taken place and how results compare with the objectives.

Monmouthshire County Council undertook automatic (continuous) monitoring of three pollutants at one site during 2020.

Table 2.1 presents the details of this site. National monitoring results are available on the Welsh Air Quality Forum (WAQF) and DEFRA websites http://www.welshairquality.co.uk <a href="http://www.welshairquality.co.uk">http://www.welshairquality.co.uk</a>

The automatic analysers are located in Chepstow at the Air Quality Monitoring Station (AQMS) located on the A48 on the pavement of Hardwick Hill, which is within the Chepstow Air Quality Management Area and at a roadside location. The AQMS is situated in a location that is the closest it can technically be (based on its size and available space and power) to the location of the highest recorded concentrations in the county.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Figure 2.1

The Chepstow AQMS became part of the UK's Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN) in January 2008. In February 2010 the PM10 monitor was upgraded to a TEOM-FDMS (Filter Dynamics Measurement System) analyser and a TEOM-FDMS PM2.5 analyser was introduced. TEOM-FDMS monitors are accepted as giving results equivalent to the European Gravimetric Standard Method. The analysers were Thermo Scientific rp Series. There were two 8500 FDMS units, two 1400A TEOM Sensor Units and two 1400A TEOM Control Units.

In 2018 the AURN began replacing the TEOM-FDMS analysers in the network with BAM (Beta Attenuation Monitors) analysers. The analysers in the Chepstow AQMS were

replaced in August 2018, Therefore PM10 and PM2.5 data reported for January to July 2018 is TEOM-FDMS data and August t2018 onwards is BAM data.

The original nitrogen dioxide analyser (Monitor Labs 9841B chemiluminescence analyser) and Odessa data logger were replaced in January 2012 to the latest compliant Monitor Europe 20xx series continuous gaseous analyser (ML 2041 NOx Chemiluminescence Analyser).

On 7th November 2019, the ML2041 NOx Analyser was then replaced with an API T200 NOx gas analyser.

In 2020, Air Monitors serviced the NOx analyser and Enviro Technology serviced the PM10 and PM2.5 analysers, and all three were audited by Ricardo-AEA. Services and audits are undertaken twice a year. Monmouthshire County Council undertakes routine LSO (Local Site Operator) duties at the station including regular calibration checks, filter changes, PM-head cleaning, BAM tape changes and calibration gas changes.

Further details on how the monitors are calibrated and how the data has been adjusted are included in Appendix C.

#### 2.1.2 Non-Automating Monitoring Sites

#### **Diffusion Tubes**

Monmouthshire County Council undertook non- automatic (passive) monitoring of NO<sub>2</sub> at 44 sites during 2020. Table 2.2 presents the details of the sites. In addition to the discrete 44 diffusion tube sites, three tubes are also co-located with the Chepstow AQMS – (next to the NO<sub>2</sub> analyser's inlet) as part of a local and national bias adjustment study. With this information all the diffusion tubes can be adjusted to more accurately reflect atmospheric concentrations.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Figure 2.2.

Since May 2010, Monmouthshire County Council has used diffusion tubes prepared and analysed by Gradko International Limited using 20% TEA in Water. The tubes are changed every month (either 28 or 35 days) and sent to Gradko for analysis.

In March 2020 Gradko laboratory had to close due to COVID-19 pandemic. Consequently, a new laboratory was contracted to supply and analyse the diffusion tubes until the end of the year (SOCOTEC). Therefore all 2020 diffusion tube averages and bias adjustment factors are based on 10 months study (March-December 2020).

Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) and bias adjustment for the diffusion tubes are included in Appendix C.

#### **Air Quality Sensors**

In summer 2018 two air quality sensors were installed at two schools, and in 2020 and then 2021 a further two were installed at two additional schools.

The sensors are the Air Quality Transmitter AQT410 manufactured by Vaisala. They continuously monitor nitrogen dioxide, nitric oxide, ozone, carbon monoxide, air temperature, humidity, and air pressure.

The Schools are:-

- Usk R.C Primary School, which is just outside the eastern boundary of the Chepstow AQMA installed in August 2018 The sensor is installed on the north side of the school building and monitors the classrooms and playground closest to the A472 (Monmouth Road, that become Bridge Street).
- Chepstow Comprehensive School, North of the Chepstow AQMA, and off Welsh Street was installed August 2018. The sensor is installed on the south side of the school building at the bus and car drop off point, and monitors exposure at the classrooms and school entrance, where pupils tend to congregate at drop off and pick up times.
- Monmouth Comprehensive, which is situated north of the A40 and south of Dixton Road (B4249), was installed June 2020. The sensor is installed on the north side of the school building and monitors classrooms closest to Dixton Road, which is also used as a drop off point.
- St Mary's R.C. Primary School, which is situated in Bulwalk, Chepstow, south of the Chepstow AQMA. It is the closest school to the AQMA and was originally installed in June 2020, but due to sensor errors, and then communication issues it had to be returned to the manufacturer twice. It finally came online, with stable readings in

May 2021. The sensor is installed on the east side of the School building and monitors classrooms, and teacher's lounge and play area closest to Bulwark Road

#### Table 2.1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

	Site ID Site Name	Site Name	Site Type	In AQMA	OS Grid In AQMA Reference		Pollutants Monitored	Monitoring Technique	Inlet Height (m)	Distance from Kerb to Nearest Relevant	Distance from Kerb to
					х	Y	Womtored		neight (m)	Exposure (m)	Monitor (m)
	А	A48 Hardwick		Chepstow			PM <sub>10</sub> PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Beta Attenuation Monitoring (BAM)	25		
AQMS	Hill, Chepstow	Hill, Chepstow Roadside A48/Hardwick 353125 193472 - Hill AQMA	NOx NO2	Chemiluminescence	2.5	7.5m	3				





#### Table 2.2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Diffusion Tube ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref (Easting)	Y OS Grid Ref (Northing)	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA? Which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m)	Tube Co- located with a Continuous Analyser	Height (m)
CH1	38 Larkfield Park Chepstow	Roadside	352800	193274	NO2	Chepstow	0.2	10.0	No	1.6
CH2a	Lamppost No. WH70, Newport Road, Chepstow	Kerbside	352821	193307	NO2	Chepstow	3.7	1.8	No	2.5
СНЗ	36 Wayside - Hardwick Hill Chepstow	Roadside	352970	193452	NO2	Chepstow	0.2	12.0	No	1.7
CH4	2 Hardwick Hill - Chepstow	Roadside	353009	193444	NO2	Chepstow	0.6	4.0	No	3.1
CH5	1 Ashfield House - Mount Pleasant	Roadside	353141	193451	NO2	Chepstow	0.2	14.0	No	1.6
CH6	Hill House -Mount Pleasant Chepstow	Roadside	353166	193586	NO2	Chepstow	0.2	6.0	No	2.3
CH7	2 Hardwick Terrace - Chepstow	Roadside	353164	193663	NO2	Chepstow	0.2	1.5	No	2.6
CH8	Moor Street Lamppost- Chepstow	Roadside	353219	193730	NO2	Chepstow	0.5	1.7	No	2.8
CH9	Restway Wall - Garden City Way	Roadside	353306	193681	NO2	No	0.2	11.0	No	1.9
AQ1, AQ2, AQ3	AQMS - Hardwick Hill Chepstow 3	Roadside	353125	193472	NO2	Chepstow	20.0	4.0	Yes	2.9
PWLL1	Lamppost NY237 - 1 The Chestnuts, Pwllmeyric	Kerbside	351983	192594	NO2	No	16.0	1.2	No	2.4
PWLL2	Lamppost NY241 - The Cedars, Pwllmeyric	Kerbside	351873	192489	NO2	No	1.9	1.9	No	2.4
PWLL3	Lamppost NY246 - Hill House, Pwllmeyric	Kerbside	351724	192370	NO2	No	6.2	1.4	No	2.4
PWLL4	2 White Cottage, Pwllmeyric	Roadside	351666	192300	NO2	No	0.1	2.2	No	2.4
MM1	School House - Wyebridge St Monmouth	Roadside	351072	212821	NO2	No	0.2	3.4	No	2.7
MM2	Flat 1 - Granville St Monmouth	Roadside	351139	212894	NO2	No	0.2	25.0	No	2.7
ММЗ	Lamppost ME 145 - 21 St James Sq. Monmouth	Roadside	351085	212930	NO2	No	2.4	0.5	No	2.3
MM4	12A Monnow Street on St Johns Street, Monmouth	Roadside	350718	212794	NO2	No	0.2	0.7	No	2.3
MM7	Arka, Old Dixton Road, Monmouth	Roadside	351197	212980	NO2	No	0.1	23.0	No	2.3

Diffusion Tube ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref (Easting)	Y OS Grid Ref (Northing)	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA? Which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m)	Tube Co- located with a Continuous Analyser	Height (m)
MM9	1, The Shrubbery, Old Dixton Road, Monmouth	Roadside	351467	213280	NO2	No	0.2	16.0	No	2.3
MM11	Fence of Boys School Playground	Roadside	351024	212652	NO2	No	0.5	4.8	No	3.0
MM13	Pike House, New Dixton Road, Monmouth	Roadside	351884	21361	NO2	No	0.2	6.5	No	1.6
MM15	6 Monnow Street/Fancy Fred's, Monmouth	Roadside	350729	212811	NO2	No	0.2	1.5	No	2.3
MM16	Lamppost ME380 Adj 20A Monnow Street, Monmouth	Roadside	350695	212775	NO2	No	1.4	2.1	No	2.3
MM17	4 Agincourt Square - The Punch House	Roadside	350779	212868	NO2	No	0.5	1.7	No	2.5
MM18	Monmouth School D&T Block	Roadside	351091	212791	NO2	No	0.2	13.0	No	2.1
MM19	Lamp post, 7 Ty Mawr, Monk Street, Monmouth	Roadside	350953	213098	NO2	No	1.5	1.8	No	2.5
MM21	Lamp post ME399,14 Victoria Place, Priory Street, Monmouth	Roadside	350910	213071	NO2	No	0.3	1.5	No	2.5
AB1	Lamppost MC178- Merthyr Rd, Abergavenny	Roadside	329170	213867	NO2	No	0.4	0.9	No	2.4
AB2	Back Clinic, 2a Bridge Cottages, Merthyr Rd Aber	Roadside	329202	213822	NO2	No	0.2	1.7	No	2.5
AB3	112 Merthyr Road, Abergavenny	Roadside	329324	214080	NO2	No	0.3	1.8	No	2.5
AB4	L/P Adj. 5 Coopers Way, Merthyr Rd, Abergavenny	Roadside	329275	213686	NO2	No	2.4	1.6	No	2.4
AB5	1 Usk View, Merthyr Rd, Abergavenny	Roadside	329212	214075	NO2	No	0.1	5.0	No	1.9
AB7	Lamp post WB259 - 14 Pen-y-fal Road, Abergavenny, NP7 5UB	Roadside	329848	214556	NO2	No	6.1	1.6	No	2.3
AB8	4 Northgate, Abergavenny, NP7 5TT	Roadside	329837	214547	NO2	No	0.3	3.5	No	1.8
AB9	8 Brecon Road, Abergavenny, NP7 5UG	Roadside	329523	214512	NO2	No	0.3	2.5	No	1.8
USK1	14A Castle Parade - Usk	Roadside	337860	201039	NO2	No	0.2	1.6	No	2.3
USK2	Castle Court - Usk	Roadside	337710	200936	NO2	Usk	0.2	1.4	No	2.5
USK3	White Hart - 5 Bridge St Usk	Roadside	337663	200906	NO2	Usk	0.2	1.3	No	2.4

Diffusion Tube ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref (Easting)	Y OS Grid Ref (Northing)	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA? Which AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to Kerb of Nearest Road (m)	Tube Co- located with a Continuous Analyser	Height (m)
USK4	35 Bridge St - Usk	Roadside	337596	200849	NO2	Usk	0.2	1.3	No	2.5
USK5	16 Bridge St -Lamp Post MA 556 - Usk	Roadside	337562	200824	NO2	Usk	0.5	1.2	No	2.4
USK6	4 Usk Bridge Mews - Usk	Roadside	337473	200755	NO2	Usk	0.2	4.9	No	2.6
WS1	13 Woodside, Usk	Roadside	337363	200707	NO2	No	0.2	1.0	No	2.5
WS2	19 Woodside, Usk	Roadside	337356	200736	NO2	No	0.2	2.7	No	1.8
WS3	22 Woodside, Usk	Roadside	337364	200749	NO2	No	0.0	1.5	No	2.5

#### Notes:

(1) Om indicates that the sited monitor represents exposure and as such no distance calculation is required.

# Table 2.3 – Details of Air Quality Sensor Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	In AQMA	Latitude/L	.ongitude	Pollutants Monitored	Monitoring Technique
AQTCC	AQT410 Chepstow Comprehensive School	School	No	51.6467362	-2.6844269		
AQTUP	AQT410 Usk Primary School	School	No	51.7052697	-2.8982932	NO <sub>2</sub>	
AQTMC	AQT410 Monmouth Comprehensive School	School	No	51.8150024	-2.7089667	NO CO O3	electrochemical sensors
AQTSMP	AQT410 St Mary's Primary School	School	No	51.6355370	-2.6783532		





Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations - Chepstow AQMA west (blue line is boundary of AQMA)




Nitrogen Dioxide & AQMS Monitoring Locations - Chepstow AQMA east (blue line is boundary of AQMA)


# Monmouthshire County Council









Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations - Usk AQMA (blue line is boundary of AQMA)



Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations – Woodside, Usk




Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations – Monmouth, A40/Wyebridge Street




Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations - Monmouth, Monnow Street & Monk Street/Priory Street junction




Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations – Monmouth, Old Dixton Road




Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations – Abergavenny, South Merthyr Road



Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations – Abergavenny, North Merthyr Road



\*AB6 was removed and AB9 was installed January 2020\*




Air Quality Sensor – Chepstow Comprehensive School

Air Quality Sensor – Usk Primary School




# Monmouthshire County Council



#### Air Quality Sensor – Monmouth Comprehensive School

Air Quality Sensor – St Mary's Primary School



# 2.2 2020 Air Quality Monitoring Results

C'1 ID	c:: -		Valid Data Capture	Valid Data						NO <sub>2</sub> Anr	nual Mear	Concenti	ation (µg,	/m³)				
Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring Type	for Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	Capture 2020 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Annual Bias	Adjustment Factor (di	ffusion tubes only)		0.84	0.85	0.84	0.88	0.89	0.94	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.87	0.92	0.93	0.77
AQMS	Roadside	Automatic Chemiluminescence	95	95	36.9	41.9	38.0	39.0	40.0	39.1	34.5	38.6	37	35	35	36	39	26
AQT CC	School	Air Quality Sensor	100	100													23	26.1
AQT UP	School	Air Quality Sensor	100	100													25.1	31.1
AQT MC	School	Air Quality Sensor	58	58														31.5
AQTSMP	School	Air Quality Sensor	0	0														
CH1	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	20.0	24.0	21.0	23.5	22.6	25.3	22.4	21.8	22.5	22.9	22.2	19.1	20.1	13.6
CH2a <sup>(3)</sup>	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	92	92	28.0	33.0	30.0	31.0	30.7	32.0	30.4	33.1	30.9	31.0	27.9	27.8	28.4	22.6
СНЗ	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	92	92	27.0	31.0	27.0	28.7	32.8	35.5	32.7	32.5	29.8	31.1	29.9	26.5	28.8	20.4
CH4	Roadside/	Diffusion Tube	92	92	49.0	57.0	54.0	51.5	<u>60.1</u>	<u>60.3</u>	56.0	57.7	51.4	53.2	51.1	42.5	42.3	31.6
CH5	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	29.0	32.0	30.0	30.3	30.4	33.2	28.4	26.1	25.9	26.7	26.8	23.5	26.0	19.1
CH6	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	37.0	41.0	36.0	39.2	40.7	42.6	41.7	40.0	36.8	37.6	37.1	34.3	34.7	27.4
CH7	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	29.0	32.0	30.0	31.5	30.4	33.7	30.6	28.4	26.9	27.9	25.9	25.1	25.5	18.1
CH8	Kerbside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	92	92	28.0	33.0	32.0	32.5	32.9	35.5	31.1	31.8	28.1	27.7	27.1	26.4	26.3	18.3
CH9	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	25.0	29.0	28.0	28.7	30.5	30.7	28.1	27.8	25.5	27.2	26.8	23.6	24.2	17.4
PWLL1 <sup>(3)</sup>	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100													25.5	32.0

# Table 2.3 – Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

			Valid Data Capture	Valid Data						NO <sub>2</sub> Anr	nual Mear	Concentr	ation (µg/	/m³)				
Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring Type	for Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	Capture 2020 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Annual Bias	Adjustment Factor (di	ffusion tubes only)		0.84	0.85	0.84	0.88	0.89	0.94	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.87	0.92	0.93	0.77
PWLL2 <sup>(3)</sup>	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100													26.5	19.9
PWLL3 <sup>(3)</sup>	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100													29.9	30.6
PWLL4	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100													21	14.0
MM1	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	39.0	38.5	37.3	36.6	36.9	39.0	34.1	34.9	32.8	33.1	33.9	31.6	30.4	22.9
MM2	Intermediate	Diffusion Tube	100	100	31.0	31.7	30.0	31.3	31.7	30.2	29.9	30.0	26.1	26.5	26.9	25.7	23.5	15.6
MM3	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	92	92	30.0	27.8	27.6	30.0	29.8	27.7	26.3	26.3	22.9	23.4	23.9	22.5	21.2	15.2
MM4	Kerbside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	83	83	38.0	37.1	34.6	36.1	34.9	36.7	35.7	29.8	26.0	26.4	25.2	24.1	24.8	15.1
MM7	Intermediate	Diffusion Tube	100	100								27.4	24.3	25.3	23.8	22.9	21.5	14.3
MM9	Intermediate	Diffusion Tube	92	92								24.7	21.8	23.2	21.5	20.5	18.7	15.0
MM11	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	92	92								31.6	26.4	30.2	27.0	29.0	24.6	17.6
MM13	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100								35.2	32.5	32.1	35.1	32.5	30.0	20.6
MM15	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100								32.9	33.1	33.7	32.3	31.8	30.7	17.4
MM16	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	67	67								24.7	30.0	30.6	27.9	26.6	26.5	15.1
MM17	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100									22.6	24.5	22.7	21.6	21.6	12.2
MM18	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100									26.7	28.1	28.7	25.9	24.1	15.8
MM19	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100									29.3	31.2	28.2	30.0	27.6	17.0
MM21	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100									32.1	34.6	32.6	32.2	29.8	15.9
AB1 <sup>(3)</sup>	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	34.0	36.5	36.0	38.6	39.4	41.4	37.5	39.3	36.1	38.4	38.0	36.9	35.4	27.3

			Valid Data Capture	Valid Data						NO₂ Anı	nual Mear	n Concenti	ation (µg/	′m³)				
Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring Type	for Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	Capture 2020 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Annual Bias	Adjustment Factor (di	ffusion tubes only)		0.84	0.85	0.84	0.88	0.89	0.94	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.87	0.92	0.93	0.77
AB2	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100						43.9	36.7	39.1	34.4	35.0	32.7	33.8	31.4	21.7
AB3	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100						36.8	30.0	29.0	26.1	26.8	25.4	28.5	27.5	17.0
AB4	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100							27.6	27.8	26.5	26.4	25.5	27.6	25.0	18.5
AB5	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	92	92							21.4	19.8	17.2	19.4	18.6	19.0	17.9	11.6
AB6	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100							24.3	23.8	22.4	22.4	22.3	21.8	22.0	N/A
AB7	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	50	50													22.6	17.2
AB8	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	58	58													20.1	13.5
AB9	Roadside	Diffusion Tube																24.3
USK1	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	33.0	32.8	31.1	34.9	32.9	33.0	33.5	34.3	30.1	30.5	31.7	27.2	28.5	19.2
USK2	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100	37.0	37.2	34.4	40.9	37.0	38.3	37.2	37.3	34.1	34.4	34.7	31.3	31.4	23.5
USK3	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100	40.0	38.9	35.3	40.6	39.7	41.9	40.3	37.6	32.8	35.1	36.6	32.1	33.3	20.6
USK4	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100	39.0	39.0	35.4	41.7	40.7	43.5	42.0	40.4	34.1	35.2	35.1	30.4	31.3	19.6
USK5	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100	49.0	45.6	41.9	45.0	39.7	44.6	43.1	40.9	38.2	37.8	35.2	30.0	30.8	24.3
USK6	Roadside/ Urban Centre	Diffusion Tube	100	100	24.0	21.6	20.9	25.6	20.7	22.6	22.2	20.6	19.2	20.8	20.8	19.6	19.3	14.2
WS1	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	100	100											25.8	23.8	23.5	16.3
WS2	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	92	92											29.6	27.1	27.8	18.5
WS3	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100											21.3	22.6	20.4	14.0

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.


NO<sub>2</sub> annual means exceeding 60µg/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias. All means have been "annualised" as per LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.


- (1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.
- In 2020 (due to COVID-19) the valid monitoring period is ten months March December 2020 for tubes analysed by SOCOTEC. January and February tubes were analysed by Gradko and were not used in the calculation of the annual mean, or calculation of the BAF
- (2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).
- (3) In previous year's AB1, CH2a, PWLL1, PWLL2, PWLL3 were distance corrected to the nearest receptor. From 2020 onwards they are only distance corrected if the uncorrected value is within 10% of the objective level (i.e 36µg/m<sup>3</sup> or above). As such 2020 onwards concentrations for these locations could be higher than previous year's as they show the actual concentration at the tube/kerbside/roadside, rather than the concentration at the nearest receptor.

#### Figure 2.3 – Trends in Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations


Automatic analyser - (AQMS) nitrogen dioxide monthly average with smoothed trend line



Trend for Chepstow A48




#### Automatic analyser – (AQMS) nitrogen dioxide annual mean 2005-2020




Diffusion Tubes trends (all locations) – 2007-2020







#### Diffusion Tubes trends (Monmouth) - 2007-2020



#### Diffusion Tubes trends (Abergavenny) – 2007-2020



#### Diffusion Tubes trends (Usk & Woodside) - 2007-2020



			Capture for	Valid Data			NO <sub>2</sub> 7	1-Hour Mean	ns > 200µg/m	3		
Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring Type	Monitoring Period (%)	Capture 2020 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
AQMA	Roadside	Automatic Chemiluminescence	99	99	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
		1-hour mean objective	)			200µ	ıg/m³ not to l	be exceeded	I more than 1	18 times/yea	ır	

#### Table 2.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results, Number of 1-Hour Means > 200µg/m<sup>3</sup>

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective (200µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times/year) are shown in **bold**.

If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 99.8th percentile of 1-hour means is provided in brackets.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

#### Table 2.5 – Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

			Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data			PM₁₀ Ann	ual Mean Co	ncentration (	µg/m³)		
Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring Type	Monitoring Period (%)	Capture 2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
AQMA	Roadside	Automatic BAM	96	96	19	18	17	18	16	18	20	17
	Ann	ual mean objec	tive					40µg/	m <sup>3</sup>			

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.


All means have been "annualised" as per LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).


#### Figure 2.4 – Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Concentrations

Automatic analyser – AQMS – PM<sub>10</sub> monthly average with smoothed trend line



Trend for Chepstow A48

#### Automatic analyser – (AQMS) PM10 annual mean



#### Table 2.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results, Number of PM<sub>10</sub> 24-Hour Means > 50µg/m<sup>3</sup>

		Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data			<b>PM</b> 10	24-Hour Mea	ans > 50µg/m	1 <sup>3</sup>		
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	Capture 2020 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
AQMA	Roadside	Automatic BAM	96	96	4	2	5	1	2	0	7	0
24		-hour mean obje	50µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 times/year									

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour mean objective (50µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 times/year) are shown in **bold**.

If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 90.4<sup>th</sup> percentile of 24-hour means is provided in brackets.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

#### Table 2.7 – PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Results (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data Capture			PM <sub>2.5</sub> An	nual Mean Co	ncentration (µ	g/m³)				
Sile ib	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2019 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
AQMA	Roadside	Automatic BAM	87	87	14	14	10	11	10	10	13	9		
	Annual mean limit value					25µg/m³								

#### Notes:


All means have been "annualised" as per LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).


#### Figure 2.5 – Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations

Automatic analyser – AQMS – PM2.5 monthly average with smoothed trend line



# Trend for Chepstow A48

#### Automatic analyser - (AQMS) PM2.5 annual mean



# 2.3 Comparison of 2020 Monitoring Results with Previous Years and the Air Quality Objectives

All monitoring locations and pollutants were lower in 2020 than any other year recorded due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdowns.

## 2.3.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

#### Short Term Objective Level

The nitrogen dioxide short term objective level is a one hour mean of  $200\mu g/m^3$  not to be exceeded more than 18 times/year. In 2020 there was no 1-hour period that exceeded 200  $\mu g/m^3$  (203  $\mu g/m^3$ ). As such there was no breach of the objective level. The last time there was an exceedance of the 1-hour mean of 200  $\mu g/m^3$  was in 2019, when there was one exceedance, and prior to that in 2015, when there were two 1-hour exceedances.

The World Health Organisation guideline value for short-term exposure for nitrogen dioxide is the same as the current objective levels set by the UK and EU.

## Long Term Objective Level

There was no exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective level of 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. This is the first year where there have been no exceedances in the County. In 2019 there was one exceedance, and this was measured by diffusion tube. The exceedance occurred in the Chepstow AQMA (monitoring reference - CH4) which recorded 42.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2020 this same location recorded 31.6 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which is the lowest recorded concentration at this location, and the only year that the location has not exceeded the objective level. CH4 has always recorded the highest concentration in the county (in 2012 it reach 60.3 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) at a relevant exposure (i.e., on a house) and remained the highest recorded relevant exposure location in 2020 as well. The only location higher was PWLL1 at 32 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, but this is a roadside location with the nearest house 17 metres away. The fall off with distance calculation indicates that the concentration at the house would be 17.7 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The automatic analyser in the AQMA on Hardwick Hill did not exceed the annual objective level and recorded an annual mean of  $26\mu g/m^3$  in 2020. This is a  $13\mu g/m^3$  decrease (33.33%) from 2019 when it reached 39  $\mu g/m^3$ . 2019 was the highest concentration since 2012, and a  $3 \mu g/m^3$  increase over 2018.

The World Health Organisation guideline value for long term exposure for nitrogen dioxide is the same as the current objective levels set by the UK and EU.

In 2018, nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Monmouthshire decreased, including the Chepstow and Usk Air Quality Management Areas. This built upon a decrease in 2016, which stabilised in 2017, over most previous years. Concentrations in 2018 were the lowest they had ever been at most locations. In 2019 concentrations remained similar to 2018, locations that either increased, or decreased did so by small amounts with a few exceptions.

In 2020 all locations decrease by between  $5.2\mu g/m^3$  (USK 6 decreased from 19.3 to 14.2) and  $13.8\mu g/m^3$  (MM21 decreased from 29.8 to 15.9).

#### Usk & Woodside

For the sixth year in a row, there were no exceedances of the annual mean objective level in the Usk AQMA, and the third year below  $36 \mu g/m^3$  (10% of the objective level).

Usk concentrations generally decreased between 2012 and 2015, remained stable until 2017 and decreased in 2018, increased slightly in 2019 and all locations decreased in 2020 due to the pandemic

The highest location in 2020 was Usk 5 at 24.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The highest location between 2007 and 2020 was Usk 5 in 2007 at 49  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The lowest concentration in Usk in 2020 was USK 6 at 14.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>

Historically the highest concentrations have been recorded in the middle of Bridge Street at locations Usk 4 and Usk 5, which suffers from a canyon effect, and had a congestion problem from vehicles parked on double yellow lines and wait times for larger vehicles to cross the bridge. However, between 2017 and 2019 Usk 3 and Usk 2 recorded higher concentrations than USK 4 and Usk 5. These locations are near the junction with Abergavenny Road. It is thought that the improvement in double yellow line enforcement,

and the implementation of the Lorry Watch scheme has meant that congestion has improved through Bridge Street.

The three tubes in Woodside which were installed in 2017 have all below the objective level. In 2020 the concentrations were14  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (WS3), 16  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (WS1), and 18.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (WS2). The highest reading for all tubes was 29.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2017. This part of Usk is not at risk of exceeding the nitrogen dioxide objective level, however monitoring is continuing in 2021. The highest concentration in this area in 2019 was 27.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### **Chepstow & Pwllmeyric**

For the first time, there were no nitrogen dioxide exceedances in the Chepstow AQMA. Prior to 2020's unusual events, 2019 was the fifth year in a row, there was only one location that exceeded the annual mean objective level in the Chepstow AQMA. In past years, there have been three locations that were in exceedance.

The diffusion tube data indicated that concentrations decreased from 2012 until 2015 remained stable until 2017, decreased in 2018 increased slightly in 2019, and decreased significantly in 2020. The automatic analyser showed a decrease from 2008 to 2018, with concentrations remaining somewhat stable between 2015 and 2018 of between 35 and  $37\mu g/m^3$ . However, there was a 1.0  $\mu g/m^3$  increase in 2018 up from 35 in 2016 and 2017 to 36 in 2018, and a further 3  $\mu g/m^3$  increase in 2019 to 39  $\mu g/m^3$ , which is the highest concentration since 2012. In 2020 the concentration decrease to  $26\mu g/m^3$ ,  $8\mu g/m^3$  lower than the previous lowest concentration in 2006 and 2013.

The one exceedance that still occurred (prior to 2020) is located at CH4 (Hardwick Hill). This location has exceeded the annual mean objective level every year since 2007.

CH4 increased concentration between 2007 and 2012 to a high of  $60.3 \mu g/m^3$ . However, until 2018 it steadily decreased in concentration to the low 50's between 2015 and 2017. In 2018 there was a large decrease (by  $8.6 \mu g/m^3$ ) to  $42.5 \mu g/m^3$ , and then further decreased slightly in 2019 to  $42.3 \mu g/m^3$ , before falling to  $31.6 \mu g/m^3$  in 2020. This still remained the highest concentration located at a house or other relevant receptor in the county.

The other two locations in Chepstow that have exceeded in the past are the automatic analyser, which last exceeded in 2011 and diffusion tube CH6 (Hill House) which last

exceeded in 2014. CH6 has reduced from a high of 42.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2012 to its lowest concentration (prior to 2020) of 34.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2018.

In October 2018 four diffusion tubes were installed in Pwllmeyric alongside the A48, south west of the Chepstow AQMA. Three of the tubes were located on lampposts near the roadside, and adjusted back to the nearest residential properties. One tube was installed on the downpipe of a house.

Concentrations at nearest receptors were all below the objective level in 2019, with the highest recording at 29.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. In 2020 roadside concentrations were 20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (PWLL2), 30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (PWLL3) and 32  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (PWLL1), and calculated concentrations at the nearest houses were 17.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 23.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and 17.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> respectively.

#### Monmouth

Within Monmouth, monitoring is undertaken along the A40 and within the town centre. Concentrations over the proceeding last seven years generally decreased and then stabilised, with a further drop in 2020 due to the pandemic. For example, one of the longer-term monitoring locations (MM1 – Wyebridge Street) reached its highest concentration in 2012 of 39  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, but since reduced and stabilised at 33-34  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> up until 2017 but decrease to 30.4 in 2019, and 22.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2020.

Of the less well established locations (installed in 2014 and 2015), the majority decreased in 2017 with the exception of MM13 (Pike House) which increased by almost 3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> to 35.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, however decreased in 2018 to 32.5, to 30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2019, and 20.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2020.

Monitoring location MM11 (Fence of Monmouth School), is located next to the School Playground, and therefore installed to indicate the possibility of an exceedance of the short term (1-hour nitrogen dioxide objective level of 200  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year. Guidance from DEFRA, based on studies states that if the annual mean objective is below 60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, the 1-hour objective is unlikely to be exceeded, and as MM11 has consistently been in the mid 20 to low 30's (17  $\mu$ g/m3 in 2020) since installation in 2014, MCC are confident that the 1-hour objective level is not being exceeded at this location.

#### Abergavenny

Monitoring in Abergavenny is mainly located along the A4143 (Merthyr Road), and potential issues have been identified at a pinch point between Llanfoist Bridge and Llanfoist Roundabout.

AB1 is not in an Air Quality Management Area, although due to increases recorded at the location since 2010, and as a result of an exceedance in 2012 (41.5µg/m<sup>3</sup>) additional monitoring locations were set up along Merthyr Road in 2012 and 2013 and have remained in place since those dates. The cause of the increase is possibly due to the additional housing, and retail development that has occurred in Llanfoist and on Merthyr Road in recent years. There could also have been some impacts in recent years with the Heads of The Wales (A465) duelling programme that has been occurring between Govilon and Brynmawr, and before that in Llanfoist.

AB1 is located on the north bound side of the road next to a bridge across the river Usk. This is the only foot and road bridge across the river to connect Llanfoist and Abergavenny. It is also next to a roundabout that provides access to a Supermarket, Llanfoist and the A465 itself, and there can be congestion at peak traffic times on the short stretch of road between the bridge and the roundabout.

On that stretch of road there is one house on the northbound side and a Kwik Fit garage, and a Public House and a row of cottages comprising seven residential properties and one business on the southbound side. There is another diffusion tube monitoring location on the southbound side of the road (AB2) that was installed in 2012.

In 2017 AB2 (which is opposite AB1) recorded its lowest concentration at 32.7µg/m<sup>3</sup>, in fact all diffusion tubes (AB2, AB3, AB4, AB5, AB6) located on Merthyr road with the exception of AB1 were lower in 2017 than any other year since installation (2012 or 2013).

In 2018 four of the six locations increased from 2017 and two decreased. This was unusual for the county as outside of Abergavenny only 3 other locations increased.

However, AB1, which is the location of most concern, did decrease in 2018, from 38.0 in 2018 to 36.9 in 2018. Of the other five locations, only AB2 (opposite side of the road to AB1) recorded concentrations over 30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. AB2 was 33.8 and the other four ranged between 19 and 28.5.

In 2019 all locations apart from AB6 decreased from 2018 concentrations. AB6 increased by 0.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> 22.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

AB1 decreased to 35.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which is its lowest concentration since 2007.

In 2020 all locations decreased significantly with AB1 recording 27.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (the highest location) and the lowest at AB5 which recorded 11.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

In June 2019 two additional monitoring locations were installed on Park Road (A40) to the north of the town centre, due to a change in road alignments through the town, and the opening of a Magor superstore on that road. In 2019 the six-month average was 22.6 and 20.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, therefore it's was considered likely that these locations will not exceed an annual average, however monitoring continuing into 2020, which recorded 13.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 17.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

In January 2020 AB6 which was located at the northern section of Merthyr road, just before its junction with the A40/Brecon Road, was removed due to seven years of consistently low concentrations (range of 21.8 to 24.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), and instead a location was established around the corner from it on the busier Brecon Road/A40 and labelled AB9. In 2020 the concentration was 24.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

## 2.3.2 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)

In 2020 there were no exceedances identified of the PM<sub>10</sub> short term or long-term objective levels. PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations have never exceeded the short- or long-term objective levels. At the Chepstow Air Quality Monitoring Station on Hardwick Hill, Chepstow.

## Short Term Objective Level

The PM<sub>10</sub> short term objective level is: -

Not to exceed an average of 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in a 24 hour period more than 35 times a year. In 2020, did not exceed 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in a 24-hour period at all. This is down from 2019, when ther were 7 days above 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (56, 58, 59, 59, 60, 62, 62  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), , was the most number of 24-hour exceedances ever recorded at Chepstow. The previous highest was five days in 2015. There were no days of exceedance in 2018, therefore 7 days in 2019, whilst low in comparison to the 35 days that can be exceeded before a breach, was an unusual increase. Clearly 2020 is not the year to be able to use as an assessment of trend.

The highest daily (12:00am-11:59pm) concentration in 2020 was 43  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and the mode (most frequent daily concentration) was 13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### Long Term Objective Level

The PM<sub>10</sub> long term objective level is:

An annual average of 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The World Health Organisation's guideline concentration for PM<sub>10</sub> annual mean is 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

There has never been an exceedance of this objective level at Chepstow AQMS, and the last time the WHO's guild-line value was exceeded was 2011 (22  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

Between 2005 and 2015 PM10 annual average concentrations steadily decreased year on year. There was a 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in 2016, but then a further decrease in 2017 when concentrations were at their lowest at 16  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. However, in 2018 there was an increase to 18  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and then again in 2019 to 20  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, there appears to be some evidence of an increasing trend in concentrations apart from 2020 which decreased to 17  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which is 15% reduction over 2019.

## 2.3.3 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)

In 2018 there were no exceedances identified of the  $PM_{2.5}$  limit value at the Air Quality Monitoring Station on Hardwick Hill, in the Chepstow Air Quality Management Area.  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations have never exceeded the EU limit Value. The highest concentration recorded was 19 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 2010. Concentrations reduced to 14 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and then 12 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and have been steady since 2015 at 10 (2015), 11 (2016) and 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (2017 and 2018).

In 2019, there were no exceedances of the  $PM_{2.5}$  annual limit value (25 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), however the Chepstow AQMS did exceed the World Health Organisation's guideline concentration of 10

 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The last time Chepstow exceeded this level was 2016. As with PM10 there has been a clear decrease in PM2.5 concentrations since 2010 which were at 19  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Since 2015, however the decrease stopped, and concentrations remained level at 10 or 11  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Therefore an increase from 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2018 to 13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 2019 is of concern, but is not yet evidence of a trend.

The EU PM<sub>2.5</sub> annual limit value is:

An annual average of 25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The World Health Organisation's guideline concentration for  $PM_{2.5}$  annual mean is 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

The Chepstow AQMS has never exceeded an annual average of 25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, with the highest recorded concentration occurring in 2010 at 19  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The last time the WHO guideline was exceeded was 2019 with a concentration of 13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

Generally,  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations reduced between 2010 and 2015 and remained stable at 10-11 µg/m<sup>3</sup> up until 2019 with the increase to 13 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. However, in 2020 the concentration reduced to 9 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, which is a 30% decrease over 2019.

Between 2019 and 2020 the air quality monitoring station recorded that nitrogen dioxide reduced by 33%, PM<sub>2.5</sub> by 30% and PM<sub>10</sub> by 15%.

It is likely that the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdowns did reduce particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ ) to some extent. However, it did not have as large an impact as it did on nitrogen dioxide (especially with regards to  $PM_{10}$ ).

This is likely due to the nature of the pollutants. Nitrogen dioxide is a short-lived pollutant, and hence most of the pollution recorded at roadside locations will be produced by vehicle emissions on that road. However, particulates can travel greater distances, and therefore the collection of roadside particulate data might not be all produced by traffic on that road. For example, particulates can travel from continental Europe or the Sahara, in addition the reduction in refuse collections in the 2020, coupled with more people at home, appeared to have result in increased home burning/garden bonfires. In Monmouthshire County Council the number of domestic smoke and burning complaints increased in 2020. For example during the three month period of the first lockdown – March, April, May 2020 there were 50 domestic burning complaints reported to Environmental Health, compared to 13 in the same period in 2019, which is a 285% increase.

## 2.4 Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives as of 2020

Monmouthshire County Council has examined the results from monitoring in the County. Concentrations are all below the Objectives, and 2020 resulted in significant decreases in concentrations. For example, at the air quality monitoring station on Hardwick, Hill Chepstow, which is in the Air Quality Management Area between 2019 and 2020 nitrogen dioxide reduced by 33%, PM<sub>2.5</sub> by 30% and PM<sub>10</sub> by 15%.

However, when considering the 2019 data, concentrations within the Chepstow AQMA did exceed the objective for long-term nitrogen dioxide in one location, despite a large decrease between 2012 and 2019, therefore this AQMA will remain.

Concentrations of nitrogen dioxide have not exceeded within the Usk AQMA for six years (including 2020), however MCC does not intend to revoke the AQMA until five years of non-exceedance of 36  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> have been achieved (to account for the stated 10% uncertainty with diffusion tube monitoring). Including 2020 there have now been three years below 36  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in Usk.

All the 2020 monitoring locations were at their lowest concentrations, and most of the 2019 monitoring locations were at or close to their lowest ever recorded, and even if the 2020 decrease is excluded there appears to be a countywide trend of improving air quality. The exception to this is at the AQMS on Hardwick Hill, Chepstow, where concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, PM10 and PM2.5 (both long term, and shorty term) increased in 2019.

# **3 New Local Developments**

There are no specific new local developments confirmed that have not already been identified in previous reports.

There is concern that new developments in the south of the County and in Forest of Dean will impact on the Chepstow AQMA, and surrounding area.

There are several developments proposed, and the Local Development Plan is being revised and consulted upon in 2021. The outcome of this will be reported in the 2022 Progress Report, however there are candidate sites proposed in Abergavenny, Monmouth, and Severn Side/Chepstow.

Air Quality is a consideration in the LDP and planning applications

## 3.1 Road Traffic Sources (and Other Transport)

There are no newly identified road traffic sources since the last assessment.

# 3.2 Industrial / Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources / Commercial Sources

There are no newly identified road traffic sources since the last assessment.

## 3.3 Other Sources

There have been no Other Sources (bonfires, firework displays, domestic wood burners) that have contributed to identified air pollution, although there was an increase in smoke complaints received by the council in 2020 – for example between March, April May 2019 there were 13 domestic bonfire complaints. This increase by 285% to 50 for the same three month period in 2020.

Monmouthshire County Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified local developments which may have an impact on air quality within the Local Authority area. Monmouthshire County Council confirms that all the following have been considered:

• Road traffic sources

- Other transport sources
- Industrial sources
- Commercial and domestic sources
- New developments with fugitive or uncontrolled sources.

## **4** Policies and Strategies Affecting Airborne Pollution

## 4.1 Air Quality Planning Policies

At a national level planning policy on air quality issues is set out in section 6.7 – Air Quality and Soundscape of Planning Policy Wales (Ed 11 – Feb 2021). This requires Local Planning Authorities to consider the effects which proposed developments may have on air quality and the effects which existing air quality may have on proposed developments. In considering the relationship between development and air quality planning authorities and developers must address any implications of any association with, or location within, an Air Quality Management Area, not create areas of poor air quality and seek to incorporate measures which reduce overall exposure to air pollution.

At a local level planning policy is set out in the Adopted Monmouthshire Local Development Plan (Feb 2014). Policy EP1 – Amenity and Environmental Protection, seeks to ensure development has regard to the amenity and health of occupiers in the locality of the development. It seeks to prevent development proposals that would result in unacceptable risk or harm due to air, light, noise or water pollution, contamination or land instability. Development proposals that would cause unacceptable risk/harm to local amenity, health, the character/quality of the countryside or interests of nature conservation, landscape or built heritage importance due to risks associated with pollution, including air, will not be permitted. The LDP notes that where it is considered a development proposal may impact on an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA), or exacerbate an existing problem, developers will be required to provide an assessment of air quality impact, together with proposals for mitigation. A copy of Policy EP1 is set out below for information.

## **EP1 - Amenity and Environmental Protection**

Development, including proposals for new buildings, extensions to existing buildings and advertisements, should have regard to the privacy, amenity and health of occupiers of neighbouring properties.

Development proposals that would cause or result in an unacceptable risk /harm to local amenity, health, the character /quality of the countryside or interests of nature conservation, landscape or built heritage importance due to the following will not be permitted, unless it can be demonstrated that measures can be taken to overcome any significant risk:

- Air pollution;
- Light pollution;
- Noise pollution;
- Water pollution;
- Contamination;
- Land instability;
- Or any identified risk to public health or safety

Planning Policy Wales can be viewed via the following link: <u>https://gov.wales/planning-policy-wales</u>

The Adopted Local Development Plan can be viewed via the following link:

https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2017/05/Adopted-Local-Development-Plan-with-PDF-tags.pdf

## **4.2 Local Transport Plans and Strategies**

The Monmouthshire LTP identifies the key transport issues relevant to the county, the high level interventions needed to address these and the specific priorities for the local authority. The LTP includes a prioritised five-year programme of projects the council wishes to see delivered within between 2015 and 2020 as well as medium and longer term aspirations up to 2030.

The programme outlined in the LTP includes walking and cycling infrastructure, bus network, station and highways improvements, Cardiff Capital Region Metro schemes, 20mph limits and road safety schemes. In accordance with the guidance it does not contain specific rail service and trunk road proposals.

The aim of the LTP is to facilitate and support the development of a modern, accessible, integrated and sustainable transport system for South East Wales, which increases opportunity, promotes prosperity for all and protects the environment; where walking, cycling, public transport, and sustainable freight provide real travel alternatives

Delivery of proposals featured in the LTP will be subject to sufficient funding being available from external grant sources and from the Council's own budgets. The LTP will be the primary reference for bids for annual capital funding from the Welsh Government for transport infrastructure.

The Monmouthshire Local Transport Plan was approved by Welsh Ministers in May 2015 and can be viewed at the following link:-

https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2015/07/MLTP-MASTER-v1-1.pdf

## **Transport in Monmouthshire**

As a rural county access to employment, education, and services is a major issue. Analysis from the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2011 suggests that access to services in our most isolated rural communities is poor. Of the 58 lower super output areas in Monmouthshire, 22.4% are in the most deprived 10% in Wales for access to services.

Transport relies heavily on the household car. 84.8% of households own a vehicle in Monmouthshire, compared to 77.1% in Wales, and 8.7% of households who own 3 or more cars.

In terms of commuting, more than 40% residents commute to work outside the county, compared to less than 30% across Wales. The most important destination is Newport, because of the counties' closeness to the English border there are also substantial flows to Bristol and Gloucestershire.

## Highways

The only motorways in the area are the M4 from Cardiff towards the second Severn Crossing and the M48 branch to Chepstow and the Severn crossing. There are regular issues with congestion on the M4 near Newport and this affects connectivity between Monmouthshire and Cardiff.

The following trunk roads go through Monmouthshire:

- A40, linking the M50 via Monmouth and Abergavenny with Brecon and west Wales
- A465, linking the Heads of the Valleys with Abergavenny and continuing towards Hereford
- A449, connecting Newport with the A40 towards Monmouth and the M50
- A4042, connecting Newport with Abergavenny
- A48/A466, from the M48 through Chepstow to the English border.

The motorways and trunk together make up the strategic road network in Monmouthshire. They play an important role in connecting Monmouthshire's key settlements with each other and with other key destinations within the Cardiff Capital Region and other neighbouring counties.

Below these there are county strategic roads which provide connections between key settlements and centres where these are not catered for by the trunk road network:

- A48 from Chepstow to the M4 Junction 24 the Coldra and Newport;
- A466 from Chepstow via Monmouth towards Hereford;
- A472 connecting the A449 near Usk with the A4042 near Pontypool;
- A4077 from Gilwern to Powys boundary;
- A4136 from Monmouth to Gloucestershire boundary;
- A4143 from Llanfoist to Brecon Road in Abergavenny;
- A4810 (former Llanwern Steelwork Road), linking the M4 Junction 23a at Magor with the A48 in Newport;
- B4245 from the A48 towards Magor

## Rail

Monmouthshire is served by three railway lines. In the south lies the South Wales Western Mainline (SWML), linking South Wales with Bristol and London. The sole station within Monmouthshire is Severn Tunnel Junction, which is served by trains towards Bristol and south west England. It functions as parkway station for a wide area. Services are generally hourly with some additional peak services, however in the peak hours many trains are overcrowded, esp. towards Bristol. It is expected that Great Western line is to be electrified in about 2017 (including Cardiff – Bristol services).

The line to Gloucester branches off the SWML east of Severn Tunnel Junction, with stations at Caldicot and Chepstow. Local services are up to hourly, some fast services also serve Chepstow. The Marches Line, connecting south Wales and North Wales and Manchester, passes through the west and north of the county with a station at Abergavenny, which acts as a hub for a substantial hinterland. It is served by 1-2 trains per hour.

Train services from Monmouthshire stations to Cardiff are notably more expensive than services of equivalent distance on the core Valley Lines. For example, a day return from Ebbw Vale or Maesteg to Cardiff (28¾ and 28½ miles respectively) is £7.80 (Jan. 2015), while it is £9.40 from Severn Tunnel Junction (21¾ miles), £12.40 from Chepstow (29¼ miles) and £13.90 from Abergavenny (31¼ miles). For those commuting to work the difference is even greater – annual season tickets are £1076 from Ebbw Vale or Maesteg, £1664 from Severn Tunnel Junction, £2228 from Chepstow and £2316 from Abergavenny.

The Passenger growth of the stations in Monmouthshire has been substantial. Over the ten years of the Arriva Trains Wales franchise has been Abergavenny has seen growth of 43%, Caldicot 88%, Severn Tunnel Junction 92% and Chepstow 136%. Interchanges at Severn Tunnel Junction are estimated to have increased by more than 300%.

#### Bus

Buses are the predominant public transport mode in Monmouthshire. There are hourly (or more frequent) services along the Newport – Caldicot – Chepstow corridor and from Abergavenny towards Pontypool and the Heads of the Valleys, predominantly operated on a commercial basis by Stagecoach and Newport Bus. Other trunk routes connecting Abergavenny, Newport and Chepstow with Usk and Monmouth as well as local services in Monmouth, Chepstow and Abergavenny are contracted by Monmouthshire County Council.

Monmouthshire County Council also operates the award-winning Grass Routes community transport flexible bus services serving all main towns and outlying areas. It is available to all residents of Monmouthshire, and in particular those living in areas without any other regular service or those unable to use normal bus services.

## 4.3 Active Travel Plans and Strategies

Under the The Active Travel (Wales) Act 2013, there is a requirement for authorities to continuously improve facilities and routes for pedestrians and cyclists (for AT journeys not leisure). The overall objective is to create a modal shift away from the car. The production of Active Travel Network Maps, which identify current and potential future routes, is a key legal requirement of the Act, as is for any new road schemes to consider the needs of pedestrians and cyclists at design stage. Active Travel has an important part to play in a number of existing policies adopted by Monmouthshire County Council, including reducing the carbon footprint with a move away from short car journeys.

New Active Travel Network Maps are due to be submitted in December 2021. Monmouthshire has undertaken extensive stakeholder engagement and is now finalising its legal consultation. A rich amount of data was collected during the consultation which will be used to produce final maps in December and a full strategy in 2022.

Every year Welsh Government invites local authorities to bid for funding to deliver Active Travel capital infrastructure projects

Each year, Welsh Government allows each local authority 3 strategic bids to be submitted for consideration to develop the Active Travel network for those routes identified on the Active Travel Network Maps. In 2021 Monmouthshire County Council was successful in their 3 geographical bids: Caldicot; Monmouth and Abergavenny.

In 2021 Welsh Government approved the schemes detailed below. The text summarises the aspiration of each of the schemes. Many of the schemes will take over 2 years to complete.

NAME	OVERVIEW FOR CALDICOT STRATEGIC SCHEME
Church Road	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Continue the enhancement of Church Rd. The ambition is to develop a walking and cycling friendly street through the adoption of a Quiet Street principle. This will include: wider pavements, pedestrian priority crossings at junctions, a shared space scheme and other measures which will give greater priorities to cyclists and pedestrians. The scheme will also integrate green infrastructure for the wider wellbeing for</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the community.</li> <li>Improve the connection to Newport Road through the town centre to connect to B4245. This integrated approach to active travel within the eastern side will improve links for residents to access employment, education and local services, as well as onto public transport modes.</li> <li>In 2021, the focus is on the construction of some of the design elements.</li> </ul>

Caldicot Multi User Route /Castle country park	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Upgrade a diagonal link between employment sites at Mitel, Castlegate and Severnbridge Industrial Estate with the Castle and Country Park by acting as a key off-road link between neighbourhoods - as well as providing a future link into the 'Caldicot Links' route (see below).</li> <li>Improve connections from entrance to Castle (including car park) to Caldicot Links scheme.</li> <li>In 2021, there will be a series of ecological assessments e.g. dormice and bats, as well as drainage investigations</li> </ul>
Caldicot Links	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Develop 2.81km of the old railway line into an Active Travel route which will serve a number of local communities. The new dedicated walking/cycling (and in places horse riding) multi-user route will be accessible for residents and visitors of the communities of Portskewett, Caldicot and Caerwent to access key destinations of employment and tourism.</li> <li>Enable residents of the new housing estates at Crick and Sudbrook Paper Mill to access Caldicot town centre through an improved route.</li> <li>Give residents the opportunities for multi-user access along a safe green corridor between the communities of Portskewett, Caldicot and Caerwent.</li> <li>In 2021, work will continue with the detailed design, survey and consultation for this scheme.</li> </ul>

## Newport Road This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:

- Enhance Newport Road, west of completed improvements at the 'Cross' area, through the town centre and up to the junction with the B4245 to improve walking and cycling facilities.
  - In 2021, work will continue on the final design and a trial of traffic movement.

#### Table 1: Caldicot schemes denoted in purple



NAME	OVERVIEW FOR ABERGAVENNY STRATEGIC SCHEMES
Llanfoist Foot & Cycle Bridge	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Deliver a new pedestrian and cycle bridge across the River Usk (about 50 metres east of the existing Stone bridge which is a Scheduled Monument and also Grade II* listed). The new Active Travel Bridge will become the main river crossing between Llanfoist and Abergavenny for non-motorised users.</li> <li>In 2021, additional specialist surveys e.g. flood defences will be required and liaison with National Resources Wales and other key organisations. Based on recent public engagement evidence, the scheme is the highest Active Travel priority for Abergavenny.</li> </ul>
Bridge Connection To Llanfoist	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Improve cycling and walking routes from the proposed bridge to Llanfoist.</li> <li>In 2021, feasibility studies will be undertaken to establish the safest and most appropriate route for cyclists and walkers once they disembark from the bridge at the Bridge End pub and onwards to Llanfoist</li> </ul>

Castle	This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:
Meadows Link	<ul> <li>Improve pedestrian and cycle access to the proposed new Active Travel Bridge, town centre and key destinations: such as the train and bus stations.</li> <li>In 2021, efforts will concentrate on the design of the new routes; landowner negotiations; ecological studies and consultations with a range of key stakeholders</li> </ul>



#### Abergavenny schemes denoted in purple

NAME	OVERVIEW FOR MONMOUTH STRATEGIC SCHEMES
Kingswood Gate-Williams Field Lane Route	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Complete the second phase (of a three-phase scheme) of a critically important route to Overmonnow Primary School. This scheme will upgrade an existing off-road, informal path.</li> <li>The public response to the Active Travel Consultation in 2020 identified this route as one that needed significant improvement.</li> <li>This work commenced in 2020 with the first phase of construction early 2021 and 130 metres of work was completed. The next phase is for construction of the path. The work will involve resurfacing, widening and lighting the route, as well as the final design for the remaining route (meadow – 330m); and complete land negotiations. The construction across the meadow will commence in 2022/23.</li> </ul>
Wye at Crossing & Wyesham Links	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Construct a new Active Travel bridge. The current Wye Bridge has been identified as a key obstacle for active travel between Wyesham and Monmouth town centre. It was identified as one of the top three routes in Monmouthshire by the public through both the Active Travel engagement as a route in need of improvement.</li> <li>In 2021, detailed design of the Active Travel Bridge and the undertaking of initial studies on key routes to the bridge will be completed. This includes discussions with National Resources Wales and other key stakeholders.</li> </ul>

Monnow Street	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>Undertake supplemental feasibility studies to assess what Active Travel improvements are required on Monnow Street (including Monnow Bridge).</li> <li>Current temporary arrangements for Covid and the Active Travel consultation has shown very divergent views on Monnow Street. Therefore, in 2021, a deeper understanding of traffic movements, cycling and walking movements will be undertaken through cameras and intercept surveys.</li> </ul>
Williams Field Lane Link To Town Centre	<ul> <li>This scheme (subject to funding) seeks to:</li> <li>To agree improved walking and cycling connections between the start of Williams Field Lane and the town centre.</li> <li>In 2021, the feasibility study will include an analysis of sections of various road infrastructure and an off-road path. The feasibility study will include traffic counts, usage studies, safety assessments and topographical surveys.</li> </ul>

## Monmouth schemes denoted in purple



© Crown copyright 2020 OS 100023415

	Project
1	Chepstow High School AT route feasibility
2	Caldicot Comprehensive School/leisure centre feasibility;
3	Abergavenny Station Road; Train Station Link
4	AT Network Maps Public Consultation
5	Increased Cycling Infrastructure - Primary School Settings
6	AT signage Improvement
7	Increased AT Walking Infrastructure – Benches
8	Usk – Little Mill cycling and walking development feasibility
9	AT Camera Monitoring – B4245
10	AT Monitoring and Evaluation – Counters
11	Increased Cycling Infrastructure – Town Centre Locations
12	Chepstow Transport Study

#### Other small scale areas of work agreed by Welsh Government include:

## 4.4 Local Authorities Well-being Objectives

The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 (Assessments of Local Well-being) Regulations 2017 require Public Services Boards, when preparing an assessment of local well-being under section 37 of the Act, to take into account the most recent review of air quality for their local authority area carried out under section 82 of the Environment Act 1995 ("the 1995 Act") and the most recent strategic noise maps made under Chapter 2 of the

Environmental Noise (Wales) Regulations 2006 ("the 2006 Regulations") and adopted by the Welsh Ministers.

Monmouthshire County Council have incorporated the Well-being Objectives into its Corporate Plan, and Monmouthshire Public Service Board considered air quality in its 2017 Well-Being Assessment – <u>http://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2017/05/well-being-assessment-v3.0.pdf</u>

The Well-being plan was then developed in 2018 to take forward the key priorities identified by the Well-Being Assessment.

The Well-being Plan focuses on challenges and issues to improve wellbeing that can only be tackled in partnership. The main Well-being objective that air quality contributes to is Objective 3 - Protect and enhance the resilience of our natural environment whilst mitigating and adapting to the impact of climate change. An example action point is "enabling active travel and sustainable transport to improve air quality and give other health benefits".

The Well-being Plan is available here:-

https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2018/05/Monmouthshire-PSB-Well-beingplan.pdf

The Well-being Plan annual Report 2021 is available here:-

https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2021/08/Mon-PSB\_well-beingplan\_annual-report-2021\_1.0.pdf

The 2022 Well-being assessment is currently being written and air quality will again be considered as an objective.

## 4.5 Green Infrastructure Plans and Strategies

Monmouthshire County Council's Green Infrastructure team have a number of projects planned or underway. Examples include:-

- the installation of a green screen along the A48 in Chepstow was planned but has been delayed in 2020/21 due to COVID.
- In the process of planting 10 000 trees as part of the climate change strategy

 Participating in an <u>i-Tree Eco study</u> in the Severnside settlements. The study will give an indication of the environmental benefits of trees and recommendations for planting and management.

## 4.6 Climate Change Strategies

Monmouthshire County Council's Climate Emergency Strategy and Action Plan was published October 2019 and is available at the following link - <u>https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2019/11/Climate-and-</u> Decarbonisation\_Strategy\_v1.0.pdf

The report was published following the council's decision to declare a climate emergency in May 2019.

The council is striving to become carbon neutral by 2030 and intend to work with communities and local businesses to help them reduce their emissions.

The council has:-

- Called for the pension fund to divest its fossil fuel investments and have seen the proportion of the fund invested in such firms decline from 9.10% to 6.95% since 2018;
- built a solar farm which contributes towards the £650,000 worth of renewable energy it puts into to the national grid each year;
- Switched to buying energy from renewable sources (currently over 99% of the energy it buys comes from renewable sources).

The council is in the process of:-

- Developing an investment programme to help council services transition to a low carbon future
- Partnering with Riverside to pilot the use of 20 locally designed and built hydrogen powered cars in Abergavenny;
- Trialling digital solutions which make it easier for people to travel through better use of existing transport capacity;
- Installing a network of charging points for electric vehicles

Action Plan summary:-

- Energy and Buildings Reduce the amount of energy that is used for buildings and street lighting
- Renewable Energy Speed up the move from fossil fuels to renewable energy

MCC LAQM Annual Progress Report 2021

- Green Spaces Create and manage green spaces to absorb carbon & provide resilience to climate change
- What we Buy Reduce carbon by thinking carefully about when and what we buy and the whole life costs
- Waste Reduce waste by encouraging people to reduce, re-use and recycle more
- Active Travel Encourage and make it easier for people to walk and cycle rather than drive
- Greener Transport Reduce the impact of vehicles and encourage the use of electric and hydrogen vehicles
- Public Transport Encourage people to use public transport rather than cars
- Education and involvement Help people understand climate change and what they can do to make a difference
- Climate Adaptation Preparing and adapting for the impact of climate change.

# **5** Conclusion and Proposed Actions

## 5.1 Conclusions from New Monitoring Data

The 2021 Progress Report did not identify any exceedances of nitrogen dioxide,  $PM_{10}$  or  $PM_{2.5}$  in 2020. However 2020 was an unusual year with decreased traffic, especially during the first COVID-19 lockdown in March – June 2020. In 2020 all locations and all pollutants decreased to the lowest concertation yet recorded.

The 2020 Progress Report, which reported on 2019 air quality, identified one exceedance of the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective level in Monmouthshire. The exceedance was on Hardwick Hill, Chepstow which is one of the two declared AQMAs.

2020 was the sixth year with no nitrogen dioxide exceedances in the Usk AQMA. The Usk AQMA will remain in place however, until five years below  $36 \mu g/m^3$  (10% uncertainty margin of error) of compliance have been achieved. 2020 marked the third such year.

Generally, nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube concentrations reduced from 2012 until 2018 and remained consistent in 2019, with a drop (due to the COVID-19 pandemic) in 2020. With regard to the automatic analysers in the AQMS, nitrogen dioxide, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations reduced between 2011 and 2015, remained consistent until 2018, but increased in 2019.

## **5.2 Conclusions relating to New Local Developments**

There were no additional confirmed local developments, over those identified in the 2017 Progress Report

## 5.3 Other Conclusions

Air quality appears to be improving in the two Air Quality Monitoring Areas; however the two Air Quality Action Plans will continue to be progressed through regular meetings of the Air Quality Steering Groups.

## **5.4 Proposed Actions**

- The new monitoring has identified that there is no need to declare a new AQMA and no need for further investigation or assessment of any pollutant.
- There are no changes are proposed to the two AQMAs, which will remain in place.
- Extended monitoring will continue in Abergavenny, Monmouth, Pwllmeyric and Woodside, but no additional monitoring will be required in 2021 over that already being undertaken.
- Action plan Steering Group meetings will continue to be held to attempt to achieve progress with the proposed measures and identify additional measures to improve air quality.
- The Air Quality Progress Report will be completed in 2022.

## References

Environment Agency (2015) Study of Ambient Air Quality at Monmouth 18 December 2014 – 2 June 2015

Defra (2016) Local Air Quality Management: Technical Guidance.TG (16).

Welsh Government (2017) Local air quality management in Wales Policy guidance PG(W)(17)

Monmouthshire County Council (2007) Further Assessment of Air Quality in Usk.

Monmouthshire County Council (2003) Updating and Screening Assessment.

Monmouthshire County Council (2004) Interim Detailed Assessment.

Monmouthshire County Council (2005a) Detailed Assessment.

Monmouthshire County Council (2005b) Progress Report.

Monmouthshire County Council (2006) Updating and Screening Assessment.

Monmouthshire County Council (2008a) Further Assessment of Air Quality in Chepstow.

Monmouthshire County Council (2008b) Progress Report.

Monmouthshire County Council (2009) Updating and Screening Assessment.

Monmouthshire County Council (2010) Progress Report.

Monmouthshire County Council (2012) Updating and Screening Assessment

Monmouthshire County Council (2013) Progress Report

Monmouthshire County Council (2014) Progress Report

Monmouthshire County Council (2014) Adopted Local Development Plan 2011-2021

Monmouthshire County Council (2015) Updating and Screening Assessment

Monmouthshire County Council (2016) Progress Report

Monmouthshire County Council (2017) Progress Report

Monmouthshire County Council (2018) Progress Report

Monmouthshire Public Service Board (2018) Well-being Plan

Monmouthshire County Council (2019) Progress Report

Monmouthshire County Council (2019) Climate Emergency Strategy

LAQM Annual Progress Report 2021

Monmouthshire County Council (2020) Progress Report

Monmouthshire Public Service Board (2021) Well-being Plan Annual Report

# Appendices

- Appendix A: Monthly Diffusion Tube Monitoring Results
- Appendix B: A Summary of Local Air Quality Management
- Appendix C: Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC
- Appendix D: AQMA Boundary Maps
- Appendix E: Impact of COVID-19 upon LAQM

# Appendix A: Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) Data

Diffusion Tube ID	NO₂ Mean Concentrations (μg/m³)												Simple Annual Mean (µg/m3)		
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.77) <sup>1</sup>	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure <sup>2</sup>
CH1	26.6	22.1	16.6	3.8	10.9	12.8	13.8	15.4	18.7	20.9	24.8	26.2	17.7	13.6	13.6
CH2a	38.9	34.5	33.0	11.7	26.7	27.0	21.2	33.3	34.7	30.8	24.4	36.3	29.4	22.6	18.6
СНЗ	36.0	32.3	26.6	10.5	20.8	21.4	21.7	25.1	29.1	27.0	35.7	32.4	26.6	20.4	20.4
CH4	42.6	44.0	43.4	13.1	29.6	39.6	36.2	44.3	48.6	47.8	55.0	47.9	41.0	31.6	31.6
CH5	41.3	31.6	22.5	11.6	14.2	15.4	21.7	20.4	29.0	28.9	33.2	27.5	24.8	19.1	19.1
CH6	47.1	41.4	40.5	7.2	26.5	29.9	26.8	30.2	35.4	40.7	54.3	47.4	35.6	27.4	27.4
CH7	26.1	23.2	24.0	20.4	16.8	18.4	13.8	23.3	27.0	26.4	33.9	28.1	23.5	18.1	18.1
CH8	32.1	26.4	24.9	10.4	17.3	18.9	16.1	23.7	25.8	25.5	35.2	28.6	23.7	18.3	18.3

#### Table A.1 – Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2020 (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)

СН9	25.2	24.0	22.5	11.6	17.3	18.4	18.3	24.6	26.4	25.2	29.0	28.7	22.6	17.4	17.4
AQ1	47.0	46.8	40.9	11.0	25.8	28.2	27.9	30.9	36.9	40.1	40.7	42.4	-	-	-
AQ2	38.3	46.2	36.9	12.3	23.3	26.7	29.2	30.0	37.4	43.4	43.1	40.4	-	-	-
AQ3	44.8	44.6	34.4	7.1	24.9	32.2	27.4	31.6	34.9	41.8	43.7	42.6	34.3	26.4	26.4
PWLL1	56.3	47.1	41.7	12.1	32.5	37.8	34.8	39.1	47.3	49.2	51.6	48.8	41.5	32.0	17.7
PWLL2	39.0	Note 3	28.6	9.2	20.5	20.9	20.1	28.9	Note 11	30.3	30.6	30.8	25.9	19.9	17.7
PWLL3	51.4	42.9	45.5	14.8	35.5	40.7	37.0	38.6	44.2	42.5	42.7	40.7	39.7	30.6	23.3
PWLL4	25.6	18.9	20.0	7.7	14.3	15.1	13.2	15.7	19.0	21.1	24.4	22.6	18.1	14.0	14.0
MM1	39.4	33.7	32.4	12.1	19.4	23.9	25.0	30.4	36.7	34.0	33.4	36.0	29.7	22.9	22.9
MM2	26.5	21.0	22.7	7.3	15.5	17.9	13.6	20.6	25.6	23.7	24.6	24.9	20.3	15.6	15.6
ММЗ	29.5	21.4	19.1	7.4	10.9	14.3	21.9	18.8	22.4	22.8	23.3	25.3	19.8	15.2	15.2
MM4	31.2	25.4	20.9	8.3	12.7	13.6	11.7	13.2	18.6	23.0	27.4	29.0	19.6	15.1	15.1
MM7	24.9	18.5	18.8	6.9	14.6	18.3	12.5	21.4	21.1	20.1	20.7	24.7	18.5	14.3	14.3
ММЭ	23.4	16.8	18.1	14.1	11.9	15.9	11.8	16.6	20.8	19.4	43.5	21.0	19.4	15.0	15.0
MM11	22.1	13.2	24.9	19.0	19.0	21.9	Note8	Note8	33.5	25.6	24.6	24.3	22.8	17.6	17.6

MM13	35.1	М	<0.6	24.1	17.5	26.0	12.3	33.0	32.1	30.1	32.2	25.2	26.8	20.6	20.6
MM15	35.0	31.5	30.7	8.0	21.1	24.3	11.1	13.7	15.6	18.7	31.3	30.0	22.6	17.4	17.4
MM16	33.1	26.0	27.4	6.5	16.4	15.0	7.9	11.7	16.5	18.9	26.3	29.7	19.6	15.1	15.1
MM17	26.7	20.2	19.8	7.3	12.0	10.6	8.1	13.7	14.7	12.2	22.7	22.6	15.9	12.2	12.2
MM18	24.6	20.0	22.0	12.0	12.0	15.9	16.4	22.1	28.0	23.6	25.8	24.6	20.6	15.8	15.8
MM19	31.2	25.6	27.3	9.2	16.8	17.2	12.9	21.3	24.4	24.7	26.6	27.9	22.1	17.0	17.0
MM21	30.4	23.9	30.0	9.6	17.5	15.2	9.6	15.4	21.3	18.1	27.3	29.7	20.7	15.9	15.9
AB1	47.4	46.7	39.0	17.2	23.7	27.6	23.2	37.1	37.5	38.3	47.4	40.8	35.5	27.3	27.3
AB2	38.0	37.5	34.4	6.5	19.2	23.2	20.7	26.9	31.6	32.8	37.3	30.6	28.2	21.7	21.7
AB3	27.1	25.0	23.2	8.0	15.4	17.5	18.5	23.9	26.4	23.9	28.6	28.0	22.1	17.0	17.0
AB4	31.0	25.4	25.6	19.8	15.0	21.2	15.1	24.4	25.7	23.8	31.5	29.4	24.0	18.5	18.5
AB5	20.9	17.5	4.6	12.1	9.9	Note 7	10.0	14.5	17.5	16.8	23.1	19.5	15.1	11.6	11.6
AB7	29.0	26.8	21.6	18.4	11.3	16.1	14.5	22.7	23.7	23.3	31.5	28.9	22.3	17.2	17.2
AB8	25.3	22.8	14.8	11.6	11.5	12.9	12.6	Note 10	18.7	19.3	23.4	20.6	17.6	13.5	13.5
AB9	41.4	37.9	29.3	23.3	21.1	27.7	23.3	30.5	33.4	34.6	41.8	34.5	31.6	24.3	24.3

USK1	35.6	35.2	26.8	18.3	18.6	18.8	16.0	22.7	25.6	24.2	31.0	26.7	25.0	19.2	19.2
USK2	40.0	31.2	28.5	20.9	19.4	2.2	43.4	35.0	35.5	33.5	36.5	40.0	30.5	23.5	23.5
USK3	38.6	34.7	31.4	22.4	19.8	21.3	20.9	Note 9	24.4	22.4	28.5	29.7	26.7	20.6	20.6
USK4	37.6	30.3	29.1	21.6	21.2	21.1	18.7	21.4	22.5	23.4	29.9	28.0	25.4	19.6	19.6
USK5	40.4	34.2	32.0	22.7	20.8	24.6	21.6	34.0	37.7	34.7	39.2	36.7	31.6	24.3	24.3
USK6	24.8	20.2	20.2	14.8	12.3	13.4	12.2	15.7	20.1	20.1	22.1	24.7	18.4	14.2	14.2
WS1	29.7	26.8	21.5	15.6	14.7	15.8	16.4	19.8	М	22.5	25.7	23.9	21.1	16.3	16.3
WS2	33.7	28.5	22.4	17.1	17.3	20.7	20.1	25.4	25.2	21.5	28.7	27.2	24.0	18.5	18.5
WS3	24.0	15.4	19.5	16.7	15.4	15.4	13.1	16.6	19.7	18.0	23.0	22.0	18.2	14.0	14.0

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

 $NO_2$  annual means exceeding  $60\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential exceedance of the  $NO_2$  1-hour mean objective are shown in <u>bold and</u> <u>underlined.</u>

(1) See Appendix C for details on bias adjustment and annualisation.

(2) Distance corrected to the nearest relevant public exposure

## Appendix B: A Summary of Local Air Quality Management

## **Purpose of an Annual Progress Report**

This report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) process as set out in the Environment Act 1995 and associated government guidance. The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are being achieved. Where exceedances occur, or are likely to occur, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 18 months of declaration setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. Action plans should then be reviewed and updated where necessary at least every five years.

For Local Authorities in Wales, an Annual Progress Report replaces all other formal reporting requirements and have a very clear purpose of updating the general public on air quality, including what ongoing actions are being taken locally to improve it if necessary.

## **Air Quality Objectives**

The air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in Wales are set out in the Air Quality (Wales) Regulations 2000, No. 1940 (Wales 138), Air Quality (Amendment) (Wales) Regulations 2002, No 3182 (Wales 298), and are shown in Table B.1.

The table shows the objectives in units of microgrammes per cubic metre  $\mu g/m^3$  (milligrammes per cubic metre, mg/m<sup>3</sup> for carbon monoxide) with the number of exceedances in each year that are permitted (where applicable).

# Table B.1 – Air Quality Objectives Included in Regulations for the Purpose of LAQM in Wales

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective: Concentration	Air Quality Objective: Measured as	Date to be achieved by
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	200µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2005
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	40µg/m³	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	50µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2010
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	10ug/m3		31.12.2010
Sulphur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )			31.12.2004
Sulphur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )			31.12.2004
Sulphur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	266µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31.12.2005
Benzene	16.25µg/m³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Benzene	Benzene 5µg/m <sup>3</sup>		31 12 2010
1,3 Butadiene	1,3 Butadiene2.25µg/m³		31.12.2003
Carbon Monoxide	10 0m m/m3		31.12.2003
Lead	0.25µg/m³	Annual Mean	31.12.2008

## Appendix C: Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

## **QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring**

#### **Diffusion Tube Annualisation**

All diffusion tube monitoring locations within Monmouthshire recorded data capture of 75% therefore it was not required to annualise any monitoring data. In addition, any sites with a data capture below 33% do not require annualisation.

#### **Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors**

Monmouthshire County Council have applied a national bias adjustment factor of 0.77 to the 2020 monitoring data. A summary of bias adjustment factors used over the past five years is presented in Since May 2010 Monmouthshire County Council has used diffusion tubes prepared and analysed by Gradko International Limited using 20% TEA in Water. However in 2020, due to Gradko's laboratory having to close due to the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, SOCOTEC Didcot was used to supply and analyse March-December's tubes (10 months).

The Bias Adjustment Factor used in this report of 0.77 was for SOCOTEC, from the 03/21 (April 2021) National spreadsheet, which used the results of 22 studies including the one undertaken by Monmouthshire County Council (see below – Local Co-location Studies).

The June 2021 spreadsheet included an additional 2 studies (24 total) and the BAF reduced to 0.76. As the 0.77 is more conservative (i.e. produce higher concentrations) and is only a marginal increase ( $0.6\mu g/m^3$  for CH4), and as the majority of the report had been written prior to the 2<sup>nd</sup> version of the spreadsheet, the original BAFF of 0.77 was used.

http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/bias-adjustment-factors/national-bias.html

#### **Factor from Local Co-location Studies**

Monmouthshire County Council undertakes its own triplicate co-Location study to obtain a Local Bias Adjustment Factor, and that factor is shared with other authorities via the National Bias Adjustment Factor database.

A local bias adjustment factor (BAF) has been calculated for the Hardwick Hill, Chepstow automatic site. The triplicate tubes in eleven months showed good precision with each other

LAQM Annual Progress Report 2021

(with a Coefficient of Variation below 20% in each month (CV range was 2-10%), and 100% data capture. One month had a CV of 27% and was not included in the calculation. The results are shown in the following table and in table C.2.

Local Bias Adjustment Factor				
Triplicate Diffusion Tube mean	36.5			
Automatic Monitor mean	26.8			
Bias Adjustment Factor	0.73			
With 95% confidence interval	0.69-0.79			

#### **Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use**

The national bias adjustment factor based on the co-location studies of 22 local authorities who submitted by March was 0.77, and 0.76 in June from 24 local authorities, whilst the local bias adjustment factor based on the Chepstow co-location study was 0.73. The March national factor was used, as it included 22 studies of good precision and is more conservative than the June National BAF and Chepstow local BAF.

Below is a comparison of using the three different BAF for the highest location diffusion tube in each town. No conclusions or discussions in this report would have changed based on the use of either of the other two Bias Adjustment Factors

	Bias Adjustment Factor					
Location/Reference	0.77 March National	0.76 June National	0.73 Chepstow Local			
Chepstow - CH4	31.6	31.2	29.9			
Monmouth -MM1	22.9	22.6	21.7			
Abergavenny – AB1	27.3	27.0	25.9			
Usk – USK5	24.3	24	23.0			

Table C.1.

Since May 2010 Monmouthshire County Council has used diffusion tubes prepared and analysed by Gradko International Limited using 20% TEA in Water. However in 2020, due to Gradko's laboratory having to close due to the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, SOCOTEC Didcot was used to supply and analyse March-December's tubes (10 months).

The Bias Adjustment Factor used in this report of 0.77 was for SOCOTEC, from the 03/21 (April 2021) National spreadsheet, which used the results of 22 studies including the one undertaken by Monmouthshire County Council (see below – Local Co-location Studies).

The June 2021 spreadsheet included an additional 2 studies (24 total) and the BAF reduced to 0.76. As the 0.77 is more conservative (i.e. produce higher concentrations) and is only a marginal increase ( $0.6\mu g/m^3$  for CH4), and as the majority of the report had been written prior to the 2<sup>nd</sup> version of the spreadsheet, the original BAFF of 0.77 was used.

http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/bias-adjustment-factors/national-bias.html

#### **Factor from Local Co-location Studies**

Monmouthshire County Council undertakes its own triplicate co-Location study to obtain a Local Bias Adjustment Factor, and that factor is shared with other authorities via the National Bias Adjustment Factor database.

A local bias adjustment factor (BAF) has been calculated for the Hardwick Hill, Chepstow automatic site. The triplicate tubes in eleven months showed good precision with each other (with a Coefficient of Variation below 20% in each month (CV range was 2-10%), and 100% data capture. One month had a CV of 27% and was not included in the calculation. The results are shown in the following table and in table C.2.

Local Bias Adjustment Factor				
Triplicate Diffusion Tube mean	36.5			
Automatic Monitor mean	26.8			
Bias Adjustment Factor	0.73			
With 95% confidence interval	0.69-0.79			

#### **Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use**

The national bias adjustment factor based on the co-location studies of 22 local authorities who submitted by March was 0.77, and 0.76 in June from 24 local authorities, whilst the local bias adjustment factor based on the Chepstow co-location study was 0.73. The March national factor was used, as it included 22 studies of good precision and is more conservative than the June National BAF and Chepstow local BAF.

Below is a comparison of using the three different BAF for the highest location diffusion tube in each town. No conclusions or discussions in this report would have changed based on the use of either of the other two Bias Adjustment Factors

	Bias Adjustment Factor						
Location/Reference	0.77	0.76	0.73				
Location//telefence	March National	June National	Chepstow Local				
Chepstow - CH4	31.6	31.2	29.9				
Monmouth -MM1	22.9	22.6	21.7				
Abergavenny – AB1	27.3	27.0	25.9				
Usk – USK5	24.3	24	23.0				

#### Table C.1 – Bias Adjustment Factor

Year	Local or National If National, Version of National Spreadsheet		Adjustment Factor
2020	National 03/21		0.77
2019	National	03/20	0.93
2018	National	06/19	0.92
2017	National	09/18 & 06/18	0.87
2016	National	06/17	0.92

#### NO2 Fall-off with Distance from the Road

No diffusion tube NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations within Monmouthshire County Council required distance correction during 2020 as none of the 4 locations that have been distance corrected in the past had concentrations greater than 36µg/m<sup>3</sup>

### **QA/QC of Automatic Monitoring**

- Ricardo & Bureau Veritas completes the data management and Monmouthshire County Council – Environmental Health undertake the Local Site Operator (LSO) duties for the automatic monitoring site within the authority;
- Calibrations, audit and servicing are carried out every six months.
- Ricardo AEA undertake the Ratification process. The monitoring data presented within the APR is ratified.
- Live/historic data is available through the Welsh Air Quality Forum website
   <u>https://airquality.gov.wales/</u>

#### PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Adjustment

The type of PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitor(s) utilised within Monmouthshire County Council are BAM and thus do not required the application of a correction factor.

#### **Automatic Monitoring Annualisation**

All automatic monitoring locations within Monmouthshire County Council recorded data capture of greater than 75% therefore it was not required to annualise any monitoring data. In addition, any sites with a data capture below 33% do not require annualisation.

#### NO<sub>2</sub> Fall-off with Distance from the Road

No automatic NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations within Monmouthshire County Council required distance correction during 2020.

	Local Bias Adjustment Input 1	Local Bias Adjustment Input 2	Local Bias Adjustment Input 3	Local Bias Adjustment Input 4	Local Bias Adjustment Input 5
Periods used to	11				
calculate bias					
Bias Factor A	0.73 (0.69 - 0.79)				
Bias Factor B	36% (27% - 46%)				
Diffusion Tube Mean	36				
(µg/m³)					
Mean CV (Precision)	36.5				
Automatic Mean (µg/m³)	5.2%				
Data Capture					
Adjusted Tube Mean (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	26.8				

Table C.2 – Local Bias Adjustment Calculations

Notes:

The single local Bias Adjustment Factor was NOT used in the 2021 report. The National BAF was used.

## Appendix D: AQMA Boundary Maps

#### Figure D.1 – Chepstow AQMA





Figure D.2 – Usk AQMA



## Appendix E: Impact of COVID-19 upon LAQM

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted air quality at local, regional and national scales and presented challenges to Local Authorities in undertaking statutory LAQM duties. This has been discussed in detail throughout the 2021 Progress Report.

In summary, air quality improved in all areas, due to a decrease in traffic, especially during the first lockdown. Difficulties included - staff shortages, increases in domestic bonfire/smoke complaints, the closure of the laboratory used for supplying and analysing the diffusion tubes (another laboratory was used for 10 months), and the need to assist a neighbouring local authority in LSO duties for their automatic analyser.

Further detail on air quality impacts at the national scale can be viewed through the <u>Reports & Seminars section of airquality.gov.wales</u>.

## **Glossary of Terms**

Abbreviation	Description
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the LA intends to achieve air quality limit values'
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives
APR	Air quality Annual Progress Report
AURN	Automatic Urban and Rural Network (UK air quality monitoring network)
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – Air quality screening tool produced by Highways England
FDMS	Filter Dynamics Measurement System
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides
PM <sub>10</sub>	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulphur Dioxide