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Introduction

1.1 This is one of a series of Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) documents that have been prepared to provide supporting information and advice on policies contained in the adopted Monmouthshire Local Development Plan (LDP). The SPGs are intended to offer further guidance on the main considerations that will be taken into account by the Council when reaching decisions on planning applications and in this case how planning policy on infill development will be implemented in practice.

1.2 This SPG provides guidance on small scale (fewer than 10 dwellings) infill development within the designated settlements as defined under Policies S1, H1, H2 and H3 of the Monmouthshire LDP i.e. the Main Towns, Severnside Settlements, Rural Secondary Settlements, Main Villages and Minor Villages (refer to Appendix 1 for details of the relevant policy framework). As well as this SPG, other key LDP Development Management Policies also need to be complied with.

1.3 Policy DES1 requires, among other things, development to respect the character and appearance of the area. Policy EP1 seeks to require all development proposals to have regard to the privacy, amenity and health of occupiers of neighbouring properties.

1.4 The overarching objectives for infill development as set out in this SPG are to:
   a. Make efficient use of greenfield and brownfield land.
   b. Protect residential amenity, both of new and existing occupiers.
   c. Make a positive contribution to the creation of distinctive communities, places and spaces.
   d. Respond to the context and character of the area.
   e. Be of a good design, which is sustainable.
   f. Consider and embrace the Green Infrastructure (GI) functions, natural environment and ecological assets.

1.5 The Council recognises that each development site has different characteristics and that not all of the requirements as set out in this SPG can be met. The onus is on the applicant to demonstrate that the proposed infill development would make a positive contribution to the quality of the street/area and would not harm the amenity of the neighbouring properties.
These are the typical types of infill development:

- **Infill sites** - are normally regarded as small gaps between existing residential properties, usually with a street frontage

- **Backland sites** - can be a landlocked site, which may have a considerable number of ‘inactive’ frontages surrounding the site boundary (i.e. fences or walls). They may also be located behind existing buildings such as rear gardens and private open space, usually within predominantly residential areas.

- **Backland sites (Urban)**

- **Corner sites**
The first step is to check if your proposal is acceptable in principle

2.1 In determining whether your infill site proposal is acceptable in principle, the first point to consider is whether your site is within a settlement boundary as defined in Strategic Policy S1 of the LDP. These settlements are identified in Table 1 of this SPG. Development boundaries have been drawn around the Main Towns, Severnside Settlements, Rural Secondary Settlements and Main Villages. Minor Villages do not have development boundaries. You are able to check our interactive LDP maps to see if your land is within one of the settlements as designated in Policies S1, H1, H2 and H3 of the LDP: https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/planning-policy/

2.2 The Monmouthshire LDP aims to achieve an appropriate level of housing growth and choice to assist in building sustainable communities in Monmouthshire’s most sustainable settlements while strictly controlling new development in the open countryside. Any infill development should have regard to baseline data using the Council’s GI SPG and LANDMAP.

In accordance with Policy H3, it should be noted that in Minor Villages planning permission will only be granted for minor infill development of no more than 1 or 2 dwellings resulting from the filling in of a small gap between existing dwellings. Only in exceptional circumstances may an infill development of up to 4 dwellings be considered acceptable in Minor Villages.

2.3 For Minor Villages, we would normally define the settlement by looking at the existing physical features such as field boundaries, roads, trees, rivers, and railway lines; generally the edge closest to village.

2.4 Some Minor Villages comprise of two or more separate populated clusters. Infill development may be acceptable in the small gaps within a cluster. However, the Council will prevent the gaps between the populated clusters from coalescing, which is considered to be inappropriate. If in doubt, you are advised to discuss your proposal with one of the planning officers via our Pre-application Advice Service (see section 5 of this SPG).

Box 2 (below): C2 Floodplain

Please note, if your land is within Zone C2 Floodplain Welsh Government advice is that no highly vulnerable development should be considered. Housing falls into this category. For more information on this please refer to Technical Advice Note 15 (TAN15) which provides Welsh Government’s guidance on development and flood risk: https://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/tans/tan15/?lang=en
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Table 1 (below): Settlements Defined in Strategic Policy S1</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Towns</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severnside Settlements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rural Secondary Settlements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Villages</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor Villages</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 There are other matters that must be considered in determining whether a proposal for infill development would be acceptable. A Site Appraisal will allow you to understand how the proposal relates to its immediate context and wider area, as well as helping you to develop a scheme which uses the positive features of the site and to identify features worthy of retention. Welsh Government Technical Advice Note (TAN) 12: Design (2016) provides detailed design advice and should be referred to accordingly.

3.2 A Site Appraisal should be undertaken before the details of a scheme is drawn up. The level of detail that will be necessary for a Site Appraisal will vary depending on the scale of the proposal and the characteristics of the site. Table 2 of this SPG sets out some of the key considerations that you will need to consider in undertaking a Site Appraisal.

3.3 It is strongly recommended that specialist professional planning advice is sought where proposals depend on accurate information relating to issues such as green infrastructure, flooding, site levels, ground conditions, ecology, transportation, etc. Getting the right advice at an early stage of your scheme is very important and will help steer you in the right direction from the start of the process. This is where the Council’s Pre-Application Advice Service can help. We welcome and encourage discussions with homeowners and/or developers before they submit a planning application. This service gives you the opportunity to explore your scheme with us and find out what information you need to support your planning application. Refer to Section 12.1 of this SPG for more information about this service.

3.4 Also, you will find the use of additional annotated diagrams and scaled plans (e.g. 1:100, 1:200) as part of the Site Appraisal can often help to demonstrate how the proposal will impact on the appearance and character of the area.
Table 2: Key Matters to be Considered When Undertaking a Site Appraisal.

- Flood risk assessment
- Drainage
- Watercourses
- Contaminated Land
- Previous Land Uses
- Adjoining land uses
- Green Infrastructure i.e. identify any public right of ways, parks and green spaces
- Existing landscape features
- Fields In Trust Guidance
- Potential accesses and linkages
- Habitat and or protected species surveys
- Designated Sites
- Tree surveys
- Archaeological surveys
- Landmarks, historic buildings, historic parks and gardens, local vernacular architecture and/or landscape
- Impact on the setting of listed buildings and scheduled ancient monuments
- Designated or Historically Significant Spaces
- Views into, from and across the site
- Settlement form and street patterns
- Spaciousness and extent of open space
- Topography, gradient and orientation
- Plot and building sizes
- Accessibility
- Traffic surveys
- Noise assessment/proximity to nuisance causing operations

3.5 It should be noted that consideration of the matters in Table 2 of this SPG will depend on the scale of the proposal. For example, not all development proposals would require a flood risk assessment, noise assessments and traffic surveys. If in doubt, it is important to seek further advice from the Planning Team via the Pre-Application Advice Service.
4.1 This section sets out the most common Detailed Considerations that the Council will consider when assessing proposals for small scale infill development.

Is your land large enough to accommodate additional development?

4.2 Once you have carried out a Site Appraisal, you then need to work out if your land is large enough to accommodate an additional house(s) whilst also providing sufficient on-site parking/turning provision and garden space that is similar to the provision for existing houses in the area.

### Detailed Considerations

4.3 You then need to consider whether or not your scheme would meet the Detailed Considerations A – G as set out in this section of the SPG. Please note that every site is different and therefore it is not possible to cover every scenario in this SPG. However, as a starting point, this section of the SPG sets out the detailed considerations that you need to take into account when considering proposals for small scale infill development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Detailed Considerations for Small Scale Infill Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Site Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Privacy/Amenity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Sustainable Transport / Access &amp; Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Natural Resources/Green Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G Planning Contributions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plot Size

5.1 Plot size ratios should reflect those prevailing in adjacent properties i.e. where there is a regular plot size and width, you should reflect these into your proposal, so that the proposal fits well with the street scene and provides similar visual separation where appropriate.

Garden

5.2 The garden has as much of an impact on the character of an area as the form and design of the buildings. For example, a house with a large garden could appear incongruous within a high density residential area, and vice versa. All new dwellings should benefit from private garden space for drying clothes, accommodating pets, children’s play, quiet enjoyment and so on. All residential development should ensure that the garden space reflects the size and function of the proposed dwelling(s) and the prevailing character of the area; these standards also apply to the host dwelling. It should be noted that some front gardens do not normally constitute private garden space but often make an important contribution to the character of the area.

Orientation

5.3 All proposed houses and gardens should be laid out so as to maximize the penetration of sunlight i.e. by having the orientation of the garden and the windows of the main rooms facing the south.

Sketch 1 below shows an example where the plot size of the infill proposal does not reflect the prevailing character of the adjacent properties in terms of scale, mass and rhythm of the street scene. Such a proposal would not normally be acceptable.

Sketch 2 below shows a good example of how the land should be divided reflecting the prevailing character of the adjacent properties.
Scale and Massing

6.1 The proposal must respect the scale, form and massing of existing development in the area. In most cases, there is an expectation that the massing of the proposal should be in proportion to the main property and the existing neighbouring buildings, as appropriate. However, in sensitive areas i.e. in the Wye Valley Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, a Conservation Area or within the curtilage of a Listed Building, all proposals must be subservient and respect the setting of the site area.

Building Height

6.2 Development for infill sites should take account of and respond to existing building heights in the area (number of storeys and floor to ceiling heights), maintaining the visual character of the street scene. For Backland sites, a less conspicuous building of a lower scale in building height is often more appropriate to avoid the development having an overbearing impact on neighbours and to reduce impact on residential amenity.

Topography of the Site

6.3 Account should also be taken of the topography of the site to maintain the building height hierarchy along the street scene.

Building Line

6.4 For infill development, the development should seek to respond to the prevailing building line that is created by the main frontages of neighbouring houses i.e. any development proposals need to take into account how the buildings are set back from the street and any rhythms or patterns of existing development, or projections. For Backland sites and sites where there is no prevailing building line, all proposals must demonstrate that there will be no adverse impact on the amenity of existing properties.

Sketch 3 The proposed infill development below would not normally be acceptable (note the Building height, scale, massing and building line).
Architectural Detailing

6.5 All proposed new window and door openings should complement the size, proportions, design and rhythm of the detailing of the host dwelling and the neighbouring properties appropriately. The roofline should include appropriate design and pitch of roofs, ridge height and eaves level, and notice should be taken of any other relevant details in the street scene.

Building Materials

6.6 The predominant facing materials of the proposal should reflect the materials of the host dwelling and, where appropriate, the neighbouring dwellings. Additional materials for finer detailing should be carefully chosen. Materials used for roofing and walls should match or positively respond to the host dwelling and to the adjacent dwellings, as should materials and colours for doors and window frames. When the materials are chosen well, they will complement the building and the street scene.

Boundary Treatment

6.7 Particularly for Backland Sites, where existing plot boundaries form a distinctive part of the street scene, these boundaries should be retained and replicated through appropriate building design and landscape treatment. In most cases it will be necessary to consider screening the boundaries of a new development for privacy reasons as well as to reduce noise and disturbance.

Box 3

The Council will consider proposals for small scale infill development with special care, particularly those in or adjoining Conservation Areas and near Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments, in or adjoining the Wye Valley Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and adjoining the Brecon Beacons National Park. All development proposals are required to either preserve or enhance the special character of these areas. Within these protected areas, you are normally required to submit a Full Planning Application to enable us to assess fully the implications of your proposals.

The Council’s adopted Conservation Area Appraisals (CCAs) have identified certain views, spaces or gaps as significant in character, they often contain significant site lines from, or to historic buildings, or are part of a layout or approach to a complex of buildings or town. These could include former market squares, commons or medieval road which caused the town to develop around an open space at that location throughout its history. Planned layouts also include formal approaches to an historic house or group of buildings, which for instance would relate the coach house to the Inn or manor house nearby. These spaces are valuable in understanding how these sites worked and developed and are often a specific characteristic of designation in rural or planned conservation areas. Please note that we would look to protect certain historical significant spaces or views from development, especially those are noted in CCAs.

Sketch 4 below shows the proposed boundary treatment for an infill development that would not normally be acceptable.
Corner Sites

6.8 Sometimes, it may be appropriate to design slightly taller buildings where they make a positive contribution to the street scene, on primary routes, and in higher density areas with variations in height and massing. Where a taller building is proposed, the end treatment should relate sensitively to the heights of the adjacent buildings so that the rhythm of the street is not interrupted; this will often result in an appropriate reduction of height, maintaining a visual hierarchy on the street scene.

Privacy/Amenity

7.1 The key considerations relating to privacy and amenity for small scale infill residential development are:

a. whether the plot would have adequate privacy to habitable rooms and private garden space
b. whether a new house(s) on the plot would affect the privacy of neighbours
c. whether a new house(s) on the plot would affect the host dwelling

7.2 Hedges and fences usually protect privacy at ground floor level, so the issues tend to arise from upstairs windows either looking into neighbours’ windows or into their neighbours’ private garden space. Windows must be carefully positioned to avoid overlooking. Often this means putting obscured glazing in landing or bathroom windows, with the main bedroom windows on the front and rear elevation. However, the positioning of the windows will also depend on the positioning of the neighbouring properties.

Site Topography

7.3 As well as maintaining the hierarchy of building heights along the streetscene, all proposals need to provide sufficient gaps to minimise any overbearing and overshadowing impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

Distances between Dwellings

7.4 As far as the effect of the new dwelling is concerned, the Council’s normal privacy standard for new development is that there should be minimum of 21m between directly facing elevations containing main habitable windows (i.e. bedrooms and living rooms). In some cases, this distance may be relaxed i.e. where windows are facing a public highway.

7.5 Back to back distances should have regard to the character of an area. In some cases, the requirement for minimum back to back distances may be relaxed where the impact on privacy can be reduced. This may be achieved, for example, through the use of obscure glazing and restricted openings, the siting of habitable rooms within an
internal floor layout, directional windows or the positioning of ancillary outbuildings. However, it is not acceptable for a habitable room to only have windows which are obscure glazed. Provided that it could be demonstrated that there would be no material harm to amenity of the neighbours and the potential occupiers of the proposals, then shorter distances than those stated above could be considered in those inner urban areas typified by higher densities.

7.6 Similarly, greater distances may be required in some suburban and rural areas where the predominant character of the area exhibits greater separation distances. Distances may vary where this is necessary to protect the historic interest and setting of designated heritage assets such as listed buildings and conservation areas.

**Sketch 5** below illustrates that the back to back separation distance should be at least 21m.

7.7 New buildings near to plot boundaries can be intrusive when viewed from existing gardens or from within dwellings. To avoid over-dominant development and overshadowing of neighbouring properties, there should be at least 15m between principal elevations with main habitable windows and side gable walls without windows (unless these are minor windows such as the landing, WC or utility room windows). This is applicable to the new dwelling as well as the host dwelling. This will ensure adequate amenity is provided for future occupiers as well as the existing residents.

**Sketch 6** below illustrates that the back to side separation distance should be at least 15m.

**Distance from the rear and side boundary of the neighbours**

7.8 Where the proposed rear principal elevation (with habitable windows) is not aligned with the side elevations of the neighbouring property, it is normally required that there should be at least 10m from the rear principal elevation of the infill development to the side boundary of the neighbouring property. However, there may be times where a greater
distance is required than 10m. Therefore, this element will be assessed by the Council’s Development Management Officers on a case by case basis.

**Sketch 7** below illustrates that there should a 10m distance from the first floor rear windows of the proposal to the side boundary of the neighbour.

**Driveway Screening**

7.9 Care will need to be taken to ensure that there is adequate screening to prevent light intrusion from the movement of vehicles associated with the infill development.

**Natural Light and Outlook**

7.10 Direct sunlight makes a home more pleasant to live in. Dwellings should have access to sufficient daylight to allow the comfortable use of habitable rooms (living rooms, dining rooms and bedrooms), as well as kitchens and outside space such as patio areas in gardens.

7.11 Quantitative standards cannot be applied to every case to assess the amount of daylight and sunlight of individual properties and the impact on outlook. Nevertheless, there are several ‘rules of thumb’ which will inform the judgement to be made. One is the ‘25° rule’ for windows facing other structures. A reference line is taken at 2m above ground level on the existing building. This is the assumed position of the top of ground floor windows in the existing building. A 25° line is then drawn towards the proposed building. If the proposed development falls beneath the line drawn at 25°, there is unlikely to be a detrimental effect to daylight on the existing property.

**Sketch 8** below shows the proposed dwelling crosses the 25° rule for natural light and would not normally be acceptable.

7.12 Another assessment to protect daylight and outlook from main living area windows is by operating a 45° splayline (assessed in
plan view) from the centre of the relevant window of the existing dwelling of the adjacent infill development (as shown in Sketch 10). This assessment relates to any proposed two storey development from an existing building line, and windows affected by this proposed two storey development. Any part of the new two storey development that extends beyond the splayline may be considered to adversely affect the amenity of the neighbouring occupiers. The Council will not normally support any new development that would result in the significant loss of sunlight for existing properties, where it leads to overshadowing for the majority of the day.

Sketch 9 below shows that a proposed infill development along with its two storey extension crosses the 45° splayline rule and would not normally be acceptable.

7.13 Developments which do not meet these standards (the 25° rule and 45° splayline) will normally be resisted unless it can be demonstrated by the applicant that it would not harm the amenity of the neighbouring properties and the host property. It is also recognised that the changes to permitted development rights have allowed certain types of development which might exceed these standards. Where proposed development requires planning permission, we will apply this SPG’s standards in a reasonable manner.

8.1 One of the overarching objectives for infill development is to use land more efficiently and to enable development in areas that have easy access to existing amenities by sustainable transport options (i.e. walking, cycling and public transport), thus reducing the need to use private cars.

8.2 Reflecting sustainable transport considerations, the Council will also promote secured cycle parking provision, electric charging points and broadband connections provided within infill development.

Access widths

8.3 An access drive serving one dwelling should be a minimum of 2.75m wide. If the access drive is longer than 45m, it should be a minimum of 3.7m wide over its whole length to allow for access for service vehicles such as fire engines. For sites of 2
to 5 dwellings a minimum width of 4.10m is required. A minimum width of 4.8m is required for drives serving more than 5 dwellings.

8.4 In some circumstances, such as to allow trees or other important features to be retained, it may be acceptable to allow a narrowing of a 4.10m access to 3.7m. All drives should normally allow vehicles to turn and leave the site in a forward direction and drives in excess of 25m will need to make provision for the turning of service vehicles, in terms of both layout and construction. In most cases, and certainly where an access is bounded by solid walls, fences, hedges or a line of trees, where possible, a 0.5m overhang strip either side of the driveway should be provided to ease the flow of vehicles.

### Table 4 (below): Minimum Access Widths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Access width in Metres (Minimum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 house</td>
<td>2.75m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 house if the access is greater than 45m in length</td>
<td>3.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2—5 houses</td>
<td>4.10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 5 houses</td>
<td>4.8m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 The gradient of vehicular access drives should ideally be no steeper than 1:10 (10%) subject to a maximum gradient of 1:8 (12.5%).

### Access Gradients

8.6 On-site parking is normally required to be provided in the plot, in a similar manner to the host property or the adjacent houses. On-site parking should be positioned close to the dwelling and must not be located to interfere with any joint access road. Any proposed garage or parking provision must not be overly dominating in the street and matching materials should be used to respect the existing character of the area. Please refer to the Monmouthshire Parking Standards for more information: [https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2015/07/Mon-CC-Parking-Standards-SPG-Jan-2013.pdf](https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2015/07/Mon-CC-Parking-Standards-SPG-Jan-2013.pdf)

### Visibility Splays

8.7 Visibility Splays are an essential feature of an access or junction as they enable traffic from a minor road to view cyclists, vehicles and pedestrians on the main road. Any visibility splay below the required standard would need to be justified via appropriate traffic survey and development proposals should demonstrate the best achievable
visibility splay. The splay should be entirely within the applicant’s ownership and control and remain so in perpetuity.

**Hard Surfaces**

8.8 It will normally be necessary to provide a hard surface for the first 5m of a new access to prevent loose material being deposited on the highway, although other amenity considerations might require more of the access to be surfaced in a hard material to reduce noise, or in a softer material to respect the character of the site. New accesses will need to be constructed so as to prevent surface water discharging onto the public highway and vice versa. Where a new carriageway passes close to an existing dwelling it should be hard surfaced to reduce the noise of vehicles.

**Crossing of a Highway Verge/Footway**

8.9 Where an access traverses a highway verge or footway, a crossing must be provided to the highway authority’s requirements. Please engage with the Council’s Highways Department via our Pre-Application Advice Service for more guidance. Information regarding this can be found on our website.

**Avoid Multiple Access Points**

8.10 In most instances it is important to ensure that any new property or group of properties share a single access point to avoid multiple points of conflict for vehicular movements.

**Distance to the Driveway**

8.11 The driveway of a new access serving a Backland Site should be a minimum of 2m from any elevation of an existing property (including the integral garage to that property). Where any elevation of an existing dwelling with a window would face onto a new driveway, any new screening should generally take the form of walls for the whole length of the elevation that is being protected. It should also include an additional length at the rear to protect any garden immediately outside any main living room, subject to the prevailing character of the site.

**Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)**

8.12 All new developments of more than 1 dwelling house or where the construction area is 100m$^2$ or more, will require Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) to manage on-site surface water (whether they require planning permission or not). These SuDS must be designed and constructed in accordance with the Welsh Government Standards for Sustainable Drainage (see Table 6 Useful References, at the end of this SPG). If in doubt, please contact the Sustainable Drainage Approving Body (SAB) on 01633 644708 for more information.
Green Infrastructure

9.1 Green Infrastructure (GI) including trees and semi-natural habitats are important in carbon capture, water storage and pollution absorption and these assets are fully supported by PPW10. Green infrastructure comprises natural and managed green spaces and other environmental features within urban and rural settings which provide benefits for the economy, local residents and biodiversity. This policy seeks to ensure that development proposals maintain, protect and create new green infrastructure, where appropriate.

9.2 Policy GI1 of the LDP requires development proposals to maintain, protect and enhance Monmouthshire’s diverse green infrastructure network by:
   a) Ensuring that individual green assets are retained wherever possible and integrated into new development. Where loss of green infrastructure is unavoidable in order to secure sustainable development appropriate mitigation and/or compensation of the lost assets will be required;
   b) Incorporating new and/or enhanced green infrastructure of an appropriate type, standard and size. Where on-site provision of green infrastructure is not possible, contributions will be sought to make appropriate provision for green infrastructure off-site.


Planting/Trees

9.4 Planting is integral to achieving quality residential environments and should be considered at the outset of the design process. Applicants are advised to preserve existing trees in the first instance. The planting of new appropriate trees on the application site is also encouraged even where there is to be no loss of existing trees. The planting does not necessarily need to involve native trees in an urban setting but it would be appropriate to seek native stock in the villages. The Council will normally resist any application for development which will result in the loss of trees protected by a Tree Preservation Order, mature trees, hedges and boundary walls which make a positive contribution to a site or the surrounding area. Trees in conservation areas are protected in a similar way to those covered by a Tree Preservation Order and special permission is needed to lop, prune or fell them and to carry out building or ground works within an area covered by the spread of the branches.
9.5 New development will not normally be allowed where a building would be situated within the spread of a tree that is worthy of retention. If in doubt, a qualified **aboriculturalist** will be able to carry out a tree survey to determine which trees are worthy of retention, and which trees could be removed due to poor health. Impermeable surfaces should be avoided below the canopy/crown spread of any tree.

**Ecology**

9.6 Infill development plots can be rich in biodiversity and provide important stepping stones and connections for wildlife in the landscape. As such, scheme design may need to be informed by a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) and where appropriate species surveys and an Ecological Impact Assessment. Many species are protected by law and habitats and species are afforded detailed consideration through planning policy. All development should demonstrate that there will be no net loss of biodiversity and that biodiversity net gain can be delivered for example through the improved management of retained habitats, the addition of appropriate planting and provision of hedgehog highways, together with bird nesting and bat roosting opportunities in the scheme design.

**Watercourse**

9.7 In Monmouthshire we often receive planning applications that may have an impact, directly or indirectly, upon a watercourse. Consequently, watercourses will need to be taken into account and advice should be sought from NRW for permits/consents for proposed works.

**Designated Sites**

9.8 Proposals should not compromise areas protected for their ecological and/or geological qualities and potable water supplies. All proposals should take into account the Council’s vision in maintaining and improving the biodiversity and geology of the County through the protection, restoration and enhancement of valuable ecological habitats, wildlife networks and corridors, as well as the creation of new habitats.

**Detailed Consideration F – Drainage**

**Foul Drainage**

10.1 All development should make satisfactory arrangements for foul drainage. A sequential approach should be taken when considering foul drainage options. This should be based on the demonstrable feasibility or otherwise of alternative arrangements, taking into account their cost and/or practicability:

a. as a first priority connection should be made to public sewerage infrastructure;

b. where this is not feasible, as a
second priority the use of a package sewage treatment plant offering full treatment to recognised standards may be proposed. Proposals should include full details of means of operation and maintenance;
c. only where the above options are not feasible should the use of septic tank systems be proposed.

10.2 Any such proposals should include a full assessment of the scheme having regard to the effects on public health, amenity and the environment, and addressing any evidence in respect of Table 5. Where significant adverse environmental, amenity or public health effects are likely to arise through either permanent or temporary use of septic tank drainage, the development concerned will not be permitted. The latest Welsh Government advice is available here: https://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/circulars/welshgovcirculars/wgc-008-2018/?lang=en

10.3 Development dependent upon cesspool drainage arrangements will rarely be permitted and the use of a sustainable foul drainage system will be considered as an alternative to the provisions of this SPG, only where the particular treatment proposal has the approval of the Natural Resources Wales.

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDs)

10.4 Schedule 3 of the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 makes SuDS a mandatory requirement for all new developments. The legislation will ensure resilient drainage systems for new developments in both urban and rural areas. From 7 January 2019, all new developments of more than one dwelling will require sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) for managing surface water. All drainage systems for all new developments must be designed and built in accordance with statutory SuDS standards. Please note that SuDS schemes must be approved by Monmouthshire County Council acting in its SuDs Approving Body (SAB) role before construction work begins. As such, this element should be an integral part of your scheme right from the start of the design process. For more information, please email sab@monmouthshire.gov.uk

Table 5: Factors Forming a Full Assessment of the Use of a Septic Tank.

- Contravention of recognised practices
- Adverse effect on water sources or resources
- Health hazard or nuisance likely to arise
- Damage to controlled waters
- Damage to the environment and amenity
- Overloading of the existing capacity of the area
- Absence of suitable outlets
- Unsuitable soakage characteristics
- High water table
- Rising ground water levels
- Flooding
Planning Contributions

11.1 Depending of the size and nature of the proposal, planning permission is sometimes granted subject to the signing of a planning agreement (or obligation) under Section 106 of the Planning Act. Such contributions are paid by developers to mitigate the impact of new development because they can create extra demands on local facilities. Where applicable, the Section 106 agreement must be signed before the planning permission can be issued.

11.2 The Section 106 is a legal charge on the land, so it will transfer automatically with any subsequent change in ownership. Some of the most frequently requested planning obligations include:
- Affordable housing contributions
- Recreation contributions
- Transport/highways contributions
- Education contributions

11.3 You can find out via our Pre-Application Advice Service if planning obligations are likely to be required in relation to your proposal. Seeking such advice will help you to determine whether your scheme is financially viable.

Affordable Housing

11.4 One of the most common planning obligations relates to the Affordable Housing financial contributions. Policy S4 (Affordable Housing) of the adopted Monmouthshire Local Development Plan and the Council’s Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance sets out the criteria for the provision of affordable housing as part of new residential development schemes. More information can be found using the following link: [https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2019/09/Final-Adopted-SPG-July-2019.pdf](https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/app/uploads/2019/09/Final-Adopted-SPG-July-2019.pdf)

11.5 The plot purchase/sale price should reflect this policy requirement. Viability debates will only be considered in exceptional circumstances, and the applicant will need to robustly demonstrate their case via independent assessment which will involve scrutiny by the District Valuation Service. This would be carried out entirely at the developer’s expense.

Can I get advice from a Planning Officer before drawing up the plans or applying for a planning permission for small scale infill development?

12.1 We welcome discussions with prospective applicants prior to planning applications being made. Obtaining the right advice in the early stages of your scheme is very important and will help steer your scheme in the right direction from the start. This
service gives you the opportunity to explore your scheme with us and find out what kind of information you need to accompany your planning application. In addition, we can discuss possible planning issues that may affect you gaining planning permission. For further information, please refer to our pre-application advice guidance documents:

http://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/planning/pre-application-advice-service

Contact:
Development Management Service
Tel: 01633 644880
Email: planning@monmouthshire.gov.uk
Post: Development Management Service, County Hall, The Rhadyr, Usk, NP15 1GA

Table 6 (below): Useful References

- Monmouthshire Local Development Plan (LDP):
- Affordable Housing SPG:
- Green Infrastructure SPG:
- Monmouthshire Parking Standards SPG:
- Domestic Garage SPG:
- Conservation Areas Appraisals:
  https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/planning-policy/conservation-area-appraisals
- Planning Policy Wales 10 (PPW10):
  https://beta.gov.wales/planning-policy-wales
- Technical Advice Notes (TAN):
  https://gov.wales/topics/planning/policy/tans/?lang=en
- Manual for Streets 2:
- Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990:
- Setting of Historic Assets:
- Welsh Government Circular 008/2018 (Private Sewerage):
- Sustainable drainage systems (SuDs):
  https://www.monmouthshire.gov.uk/sustainable-drainage-approving-body-sab
Appendix A

Monmouthshire LDP
Policy Framework Policies S1, H1, H2 and H3
Policy S1 – The Spatial Distribution of New Housing Provision

The main focus for new housing development is within or adjoining the Main Towns of:

- Abergavenny, Chepstow and Monmouth.

The Severnside sub-region consists of the settlements of Caerwent, Caldicot, Magor, Portskewett, Rogiet, Sudbrook and Undy. A smaller amount of new housing development is provided in the Severnside sub-region, particularly at Magor/Undy, Caldicot/Portskewett and Sudbrook.

The Rural Secondary Settlements are Usk, Raglan, Penperlleni and Llanfoist. A small amount of new housing development is directed to the Rural Secondary Settlements of Usk, Raglan and Penperlleni

Some sites are allocated for small scale residential development (up to a maximum of 15 dwellings) in identified Main Villages with the primary aim of providing affordable housing to meet local needs. The identified Main Villages are:

- Cross Ash
- Devauden
- Dingestow
- Grosmont
- Little Mill
- Llanddewi Rhydderch
- Llandogo
- Llanellen
- Llangybi
- Llanishe
- Devauden
- Llanvair Kilgeddin
- Mathern
- Penallt
- Pwllmeyric
- Shirenewton/Mynyddbach
- St Arvans
- Trellech
- Werngifford/Pandy

Development Boundaries are drawn around the Main Towns, Severnside settlements, Rural Secondary Settlements and Main Villages listed above. Outside these development boundaries planning permission for new residential development will not be allowed in any other settlements except in or adjoining identified Minor Villages where small scale residential development will be allowed in the circumstances set out in Policy H3. The identified Minor Villages are:

- Bettws Newydd
- Broadstone/Catbrook
- Brynygwenin
- Coed-y-Paen
- Crick
- Cuckoo’s Row
- Llanover
- Llanover
- Llansoy
- Llantilio Crossenny
- Llantrisant
- Llanvair Discoed
- Llanvapley
Outside the settlements listed above open countryside policies will apply where planning permission will only be allowed for the following types of new residential development:

- Acceptable conversions of rural buildings, in the circumstances set out in Policy H4.
- Sub-divisions of existing dwellings, subject to detailed planning criteria.
- Dwellings necessary for agricultural, forestry or other appropriate rural enterprises, in accordance with TAN6.


Development boundaries have been drawn for the Main Towns, Severnside Settlements and Rural Secondary Settlements identified in Policy S1, within which new build residential development / redevelopment or conversion to residential, or subdivision of large dwellings or reuse of accommodation such as upper vacant floors in town centres will be permitted subject to detailed planning considerations and other policies of the LDP that seek to protect existing retail, employment and community uses.
Policy H2 – Residential Development in Main Villages

Development boundaries have been drawn for the Main Villages identified in Policy S1. These development boundaries include sites identified for new rural housing that are listed in Allocations Policy SAH11.

Elsewhere within the Village Development Boundaries planning permission will be granted for new residential development/redevelopment, or conversion to residential, or sub-division of large dwellings, subject to detailed planning considerations, including no unacceptable adverse impact on village form and character and surrounding landscape, and other policies of the LDP that seek to protect existing retail, employment and community uses.

Policy H3 – Residential Development in Minor Villages

In Minor Villages planning permission will be granted for minor infill of no more than 1 or 2 dwellings resulting from the filling in of a small gap between existing dwellings, or residential redevelopment, or conversion to residential or sub-division of large dwellings, subject to detailed planning considerations, including no unacceptable adverse impact on village form and character and surrounding landscape, and other policies of the LDP that seek to protect existing retail, employment and community uses.

Exceptionally planning permission may be granted for up to 4 dwellings on an infill site that demonstrably fits in with village form (including not resulting in the loss of an open space that forms an important gap or open area) and is not prominent in the landscape.